

AZ BOSCOG Governance Committee Meeting

Via conference/video

June 13, 2014

Members Present: Karia Basta, Chair (ADOH)
Barbara Blythe (*alternate for Dave Wolf*) (Mohave County Community Services, Mohave County)
Valarie Donnelly; Region 1 (City of Yuma)
Eliza Loudon; Region 2 (Catholic Charities, Yavapai County)
Chantel Padilla; Region 3 (Advocate, Navajo & Apache Counties)
Suzanne Payan; Region 4 (Community Action Human Resource Agency, (CAHRA) Pinal County)
Anita Baca; Region 5 (Housing Authority of Cochise County, Cochise County)

Members Absent: Dave Wolf; Region 1 (Mohave County Community Services, Mohave County)

Guests: Anne Scott (ADOH)
Michele Meyerkorth (ADOH)
Candee Stanton (Consultant)

I. Welcome

Karia started the meeting at 1: pm and welcomed everyone. We had five (5) of the six (6) committee members present. Barbara would be taking notes for Dave.

II. NOFA Overview

Karia let us know the NOFA was out. October 30th is the deadline. We scored 120.75; this was higher than the median score. We also exceeded the criteria in five (5) of the seven (7) categories. She hopes the 2015 NOFA will come out earlier in the spring as we will need to do a full application at that time. We have to prioritize into Tier 1 and Tier 2 with Tier 2 being a two percent (2%) cut.

III. Approve Scoring Sheet for Evaluation and Prioritization

We reviewed the changes to the Evaluation Criteria. Barbara wanted to know if the percentage in Question 4 could be changed from twenty percent (20%) to ten percent (10%). The twenty percent (20%) is set by HUD and cannot be changed. On this question, the income was changed from just employment income to any income. Question 5 should be a percentage. Anne wanted to know if the housing in Question 1 was just HUD housing and the answer is no. Valerie motioned and Eliza seconded to accept the Evaluation Criteria. It was voted on and passed unanimously to accept.

IV. Reallocation Discussion and Decision

Karia discussed reallocating funds. The goal is to spend at least ninety percent (90%) of the contract. There are five (5) contracts that did not meet this, however, 3 of them are now on target, leaving \$20,000 to reallocate. This would require a new contract. Karia asked if we should do an RFP to do the reallocation. Valerie and Eliza both thought an RFP would be too much work for too little outcome. Chantel motioned and Anita seconded that we do not do an RFP to reallocate the funds. It was voted on and passed unanimously to not do an RFP.

V. New PSH CH Discussion and Decision

For the first time in three (3) years, there is \$40M available in bonus money for the opportunity to do a new PSH CH project. Part of the scoring criteria is based on your "Needs" score. The highest of the Needs score is sixty (60); then forty-five (45), thirty (30) and fifteen (15). We have a Needs score of thirty (30). (Phoenix's score is sixty (60) and Tucson is forty-five (45)). There are 415 CoC's that have Needs scores: eighty-three (83) are at sixty (60); seventy-five (75) are at forty-five (45); eighty-two (82) are at thirty (30) and the rest are at fifteen (15) and Zero(0). We can only do one (1) application up to fifteen percent (15%) of annual allotment which would be about \$600,000. The criteria for the NEED Score was based on the following: would need to have a housing first approach and include:

1. Criteria number of chronically homeless as reported in the 2013 Point In Time Count;
2. Number of dedicated permanent supportive housing beds available with COC from any funding source, dedicated for use by chronically homeless as reported on the 2013 Housing Inventory Count;
3. The extent to which the CoC has prioritized existing permanent supportive housing beds/units not currently designated for the chronically homeless.

The project has to be scattered site, housing first approach, demonstrate a connection to mainstream services and participate in coordinated assessment to name a few.

Valerie asked how the scoring was done and it is based as sixty percent (60%) on the Needs score and forty percent (40%) on the proposal. Do we want to do an RFP to do project for PSH CH? Eliza says she's all for it as even a single unit means a lot! Chantel also agreed; she is very interested in getting money into Northern Arizona! Eliza motioned and Chantel seconded to do the RFP. It was voted on and passed unanimously. Karia will send out a paragraph to the committee members to send to the local CoC's regarding this topic. The threshold requirements start on page thirteen (13) of the NOFA. Candee will complete the RFP over the weekend. The applications will be due by the 13th of October. The review team will consist of the non-grantee members of the board: Chantel, Valerie and Anita.

Karia thanked everyone for attending and the meeting adjourned at 1:45 pm. Have a terrific weekend!

DRAFT