

Consolidated Annual Performance & Evaluation Report (CAPER)

DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT 9/8/2023

Federal FY 2022 July 1, 2022 - June 30, 2023



CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

Approximately \$6.4 million in federal HOME and CDBG funding was committed to preservation and improvement in the form of owner occupied housing rehabilitation and will serve approximately 111 low to moderate income households. Approximately \$15.7 million in federal and private utility funding was committed to energy efficiency improvements through weatherization and will assist approximately 1500 low to moderate income households. Approximately \$4 million in CDBG funds were committed to public infrastructure projects, as they are the primary focus of rural counties and communities participating in the State and small cities CDBG program through ADOH. Infrastructure improvements including streets, sidewalks, water, wastewater, curbs, gutters, and drainage improvements were funded, addressing the Department's priority to promote sustainable and accessible communities and serving approximately 27,828 Arizona residents. Additionally, approximately \$2.3 million in CDBG funds was committed to community facilities serving approximately 25,421 residents, \$1M to the removal of barriers and accessibility serving approximately 20,675 mobility impaired residents, \$425K to historic preservation and \$196K to planning. ADOH posted its draft CAPER to its website on September 11, 2023 for a fifteen day comment period ending September 25, 2023. Advertisements of the CAPER availability were published in Flagstaff, Kingman, Phoenix, Tucson and Yuma newspapers.

Due to the Capacity deficiencies in rural local governments and the lack of sufficient employment base for most employers, economic development projects are not utilized. ADOH received no requests for economic development project technical assistance or ED application project funds for FFY2022 (SFY2023) CDBG funds.

The Rental Division of ADOH led the efforts on the development of new rental units with a variety of resources. These include Federal and State Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC), HOME and National HTF and State HTF. This will result in over 3,646 multifamily rental units. Of those, 3,077 units will be new construction and 569 units will be preserved through rehabilitation.

HOPWA monies provided \$428,139 in funds for supportive services, tenant based rental assistance, Short Term Rent, mortgage and utility assistance (STRMU) for 78 persons. ADOH contracted with three (3) organizations to administer the HOPWA programs which serve the thirteen (13) nonentitlement counties.

McKinney Vento Continuum of Care funds for the Arizona Balance of State Continuum of Care (AZBOSCOC) provided \$ 5,503,763 to support 390 units of permanent and rapid rehousing for households experiencing homelessness, through eighteen (18) contracts. Seven percent (7%) was directed toward supportive services. Five percent (5%) of the budget supported the Homeless Management Information System and and five percent (5%) supported administrative costs. The remaining \$3,997,027 (78%) was directed to direct rental assistance and operation of housing units. The AZBOSCOC was also provided \$283,326 jin HUD COC funds specifically for planning and Unified Funding Agency duties

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expecte d - Strategi c Plan	Actual - Strategi c Plan	Percent Complete	Expecte d – Progra m Year	Actual - Progra m Year	Percent Complete
Community Facilities and Improvemen ts	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$7,116,518	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	100000	167,88 0	55.94%	25000	111,94 3	272.28%
Community Facilities and Improvemen ts	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$0	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Househol ds Assisted	100	0	0.00%	20	0	0.00%

Community Facilities and Improvemen ts	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$192,319	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	2	4	0.00%	1	4	0.00%
Decent Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$0 / HOME: \$18,447,15 5 / HTF: \$13,000,00 0	Rental units constructed	Househol d Housing Unit	135	1855	1374%	25	1855	652.00%
Decent Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$117,620 / HOME: \$3,850,000 / HTF: \$0	Rental units rehabilitated	Househol d Housing Unit	55	050	0%	10	026	0%
Decent Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing Non- Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$1,987,005 / HOME: \$2,390,711 / HTF: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Househol d Housing Unit	425	283	44.24%	110	95	64.55%
`HIV/AIDS Housing and Services	Non- Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$57308	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	250	82	0.00%	50	82	142.00%

HIV/AIDS Housing and Services	Non- Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$406577	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Househol ds Assisted	250	165	36.40%	30	74	123.33%
HIV/AIDS Housing and Services	Non- Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$7318	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	250	21	0.00%	45	21	82.22%
Homelessnes s	Homeless	ESG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1000	15107	717.20%	200	7935	4,078.50 %
Homelessnes s	Homeless	ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Househol ds Assisted	500	1794	273.80%	100	425	1,144.00 %
Homelessnes s	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	1000	51663 4	580.30%	200	10831	5,094.00 %
Homelessnes s	Homeless	ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergen cy Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	25	0	0.00%	5	0	0.00%
Homelessnes s	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	500	417	43.40%	100	200	238.00%
Homelessnes s	Homeless	ESG: \$	Other	Other	5	451	9,020.00			

Program Administrati on	Program Administrati on	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$116404 / HTF: \$	Other	Other	5	1	20.00%	1	1	100.00%
Public Services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0				
Public Services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5000	14678 3	118.34%	1250	14086 6	12.16%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

ADOH continued to invest funds to address its highest priorities and specific objectives. CDBG funds were invested primarily in the following highest priority activities: 1) public infrastructure and facilities; 2) improving the quality of housing stock, and 3) removal of barriers and accessibility for LMI. HOME funds were invested in the high priority activities of construction and rehabilitation of rental units and improving the quality of housing stock through owner occupied housing rehabilitation. HOPWA funds were invested in high priority housing and services for

persons with HIV/AIDS. Materials and Supply Chain interuptions continued to slow the progress of activities regardless of the funding source especially for new construction or rehabilitation activites. This affected the number of completed projects, thereby reducing the number of persons served from the anticipated in the FY2022 Action Plan and Consolidated Plan.

During PY 22, the Arizona Department of Economic Security (AzDES) partnered with 15 homeless service providers across seven counties to address the issue of homelessness. The collaborative approach includes partnerships for services including management of emergency shelters, outreach programs, rehousing resources, and prevention providers. Emergency Solutions Grant funds are crucial in aiding communities throughout the state in mitigating homelessness and providing vital assistance to those most in need. AzDES aims to create a coordinated and efficient system that maximizes resources, avoids duplication of efforts, and ensures a comprehensive response to homelessness.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA	ESG	HTF
White	61905	105	48	610	12
Black or African American	1664	46	9	415	0
Asian	943	0/0	0	5	0
American Indian or American Native	1647	0/0	1	88	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	81	0/0	0	5	0
Total	66240	151	106	1123	0
Hispanic	1074	32	5	465	6
Not Hispanic	65166	119	53	658	0

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

ADOH Programs are available to all income qualified beneficiaries regardless of race or ethnicity and Arizona assisted low and moderate income persons with a range of racial and ethnic backgrounds. Note that not all CDBG projects completed, i.e. public infrastructure, were required to capture race and ethnicity data. ADOH consistently monitors funded projects for compliance with fair housing and equal opportunity.

When assessing the racial and ethnic composition of families assisted through Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) funding over time, AzDES has identified several key factors which come into play. The impact of changes in the economy or local industries can vary significantly across different racial or ethnic groups, a trend that is reflected in the demographics of those seeking ESG aid. In areas undergoing rapid development, long-established communities may be displaced, leading to a shift in the makeup of ESG recipients. Additionally, certain racial or ethnic communities may be less inclined to seek government aid due to language and cultural barriers, lack of information, or mistrust, all of which can have a significant impact on the composition of ESG recipients over time. Depending on these and other factors, particular racial or ethnic groups may experience fluctuations in levels of ESG funding over time. AzDES is working to address these fluctuations with ADOH and the CoCs using data-informed approaches and resources based on community need.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made	Amount Expended
		Available	During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	9,729,050	9653928
HOME	public - federal	8663850	4564969
HOPWA	public - federal	548760	288451
ESG	public - federal	1598056	1,107,851
HTF	public - federal	11533111	6850000

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

The resource amounts expended from July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023 are based on the total of draws for each funding source over the reporting period from the ADOH Housing Development Software (HDS) database and are as follows: CDBG: \$9,653,928 which includes \$998,809 in Colonias Set Aside; \$17,642,941 CDBG-CV; HOME: \$4,564,969 including 1) 2,174,258 new construction, and2) \$2,390,71100HR;HOPWA: \$288,451; Other funds expended are comprised of State Housing Trust Funds in the amount of \$6,850,000 and Continuum of Care in the amount of \$971,447.

In an effort to address the highest priorities of homelessness across Arizona, AzDES awarded \$1,107,850 in funding towards Emergency Shelter, Street Outreach, Rapid Rehousing, and Homeless Prevention. Despite increased investments, Arizona saw an increase in homelessness in the 2023 Point-In-Time Count as a result of factors such as lack of affordable housing, economic factors, and post-pandemic instability. In Maricopa County, the total number of individuals experiencing homelessness (sheltered and unsheltered) increased by 7% from the previous year, with 9,642 people identified as homeless, with 50.9% being unsheltered. However, the Balance of State saw a decrease of 10.6% in homelessness, and Pima County saw a 1% decrease.

During a 12-month period, DES ESG-supported shelter initiatives provided aid to a greater number of individuals who had recently become homeless. However, Rapid Rehousing projects faced challenges as housing expenses, rental rates, and property values surged in Arizona. Moreover, there was a consequent rise in demand for homeless prevention aid, as COVID-related moratoriums and rental assistance programs were either reduced or discontinued, failing to keep up with the mounting housing costs.

AzDES further invested in providers through community visits to understand need and share information about the ESG program in communities around the state: Cochise County (Sierra Vista, Hereford, Palominas, & Bisbee), Santa Cruz County (Nogales), Graham County (Safford), Apache & Navajo Counties (Pinetop-Lakeside), Pima County (Tucson), and Maricopa County (Phoenix.) On-site program support was provided in partnership with ADOH to Mohave County/Kingman to improve Coordinated Entry for

participants in the area. In addition, AzDES actively collaborated with all 3 Continuums of Care to develop, review and/or improve ESG written standards.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
State of Arizona FY2020-			
2024	100	100	State Service Area

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The State's service area for CDBG includes all of the nonentitlement areas of the state and funding follows a method of distribution that includes annual allocations to regionally agreed upon pre determined units of local government know as the Regional allocation as well as a pool of funding available competitively to all eligible state CDBG units of local governments known as the State Special Projects allocation. Additionally the State has a 10% set aside for Colonia eligible projects awarded once every two years through a competitive application process. The next Colonia application round will take place in early summer 2024.

HOME funds can be accessed competitively by units of local government, for profit or nonprofit entities with the primary focus on rural areas outside of other participating jurisdictions in the state.

HOPWA contracts are awarded based on needs of the service area and available service providers. Current service providers are covering Apache, Coconino, Gila, Graham, Greenlee, LaPaz, Mohave, Navajo, Pinal, Santa Cruz, Yavapai, and Yuma Counties.

HTF will be made available through one or more of the following methods: 1) A Notice of Funds Available for the construction of rental housing in which HTF may be used solely or in conjunction with HOME, State Housing Trust Fund, NSP, 4% LIHTC, 9% LIHTC or other funding sources; or 2) Gap financing for rental development awarded 4% or 9% LIHTCs in accordance with the ADOH Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP).

For HTF: applicants must provide documentation demonstrating the following: 1) applicant is a legally formed entity; 2) development team has sufficient capacity; 3) site control and zoning entitlements; 4) adherence to environmental regulations; 5) financial ability to proceed; 6) market demand for prospective population; 7) adherence to design standards including energy efficiency; 8) adequacy of services to special needs populations (if applicable).

The AzDES ESG funds were disbursed across seven of the fifteen counties in Arizona, namely Maricopa, Pima, Pinal, Mohave, Yavapai, Coconino, and La Paz. Through strategic partnerships with local

organizations and community leaders, these resources were deployed more efficiently to support individuals experiencing homelessness. These collaborations have enabled AzDES to identify innovative approaches to support its network of partners and address the complex challenges, trends, and root causes of homelessness in each community. The funds were allocated for various initiatives, including Emergency Shelter, Street Outreach, Rapid Rehousing, and Homeless Prevention projects. Furthermore, AzDES also received Admin funding, which further augmented its capacity to deliver impactful solutions.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Arizona leveraged \$73,303,896 in private, state, and local resources over the reporting period. CDBG funds leveraged \$1,844,957 in additional funding. HOME funds invested in rental development leveraged \$71,458,939 in state and local resources. New HOME match contribution in the amount of \$35,457,897 was provided through the investment of owner cash and non-federal resources with the remainder consisting of excess match banked in the amount of \$14,785,224 from previous years activities. Due to these contributions Arizona now has excess Match to carryover to next Federal Fiscal Year in the amount of \$48,340,366.

State Housing Trust Fund (SHTF) provided administrative funding for HOME funded single-family housing rehabilitation and Continuum of Care (COC) funded rental assistance programs. AZBOSCOC subrecipient agencies receiving COC funds provided match through ADOH provided HTF administrative funding, as well as through cash and in-kind contributions and services associated with permanant supportive housing from the agencies or third parties.

The provision of ESG match funds by AzDES was made possible through the utilization of Arizona Lottery funds, State Homeless General Funding, and TANF. It is noteworthy that the total amount of funds provided exceeded the HUD ESG match requirement of \$1,598,056. This is a testament to the commitment of AzDES towards ensuring that the needs of the homeless population are adequately resourced through the provision of necessary supports.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match							
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	48,340,366						
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	247,709						
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	48,588,075						
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	1,141,243						
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	47,446,832						

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

			Match Contrib	oution for the Fe	deral Fiscal Yea	ır		
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
307-21	8/9/2022	10364	0	0	0	0	0	10364
301-20	2/8/2023	0	0	0	0	0	20000	20000
400-22	08/10/2022	170843.13	0	0	0	0	0	170843.13
400-22	12/21/2022	46502.63	0	0	0	0	0	46502.63
.00 ==	==, ==, ==	1500						

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period							
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$			
0	0	0	0	0			

Table 7 – Program Income

value of conti	acts for HOIVIE			e reporting perio	oa		
	Total	ŗ	Minority Busin	ess Enterprises		White Non-	
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts							
Dollar							
Amount	0	0	0	0	0	C	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	C	
Sub-Contract	S	•		•			
Number	0	0	0	0	0	C	
Dollar							
Amount	0	0	0	0	0	C	
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male				
Contracts							
Dollar							
Amount	0	0	0				
Number	0	0	0				
Sub-Contract	S						
Number	0	0	0				
Dollar							
	1						

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted **Total** White Non-**Minority Property Owners** Alaskan Asian or **Black Non-**Hispanic Hispanic Native or **Pacific** Hispanic **American** Islander Indian Number 0 0 0 0 0 0 Dollar 0 0 Amount 0 0 0

Table 9 - Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition

Parcels Acquired	0	0
Businesses Displaced	0	0
Nonprofit Organizations		
Displaced	0	0
Households Temporarily		
Relocated, not Displaced	0	0

Households	Total		White Non-			
Displaced		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	200	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	115	976
Number of Special-Needs households to be		
provided affordable housing units	50	0
Total	365	976

Table 11 - Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through		
Rental Assistance	200	259
Number of households supported through		
The Production of New Units	50	976
Number of households supported through		
Rehab of Existing Units	115	224
Number of households supported through		
Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
Total	365	2,084

Table 12 - Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Availability of construction materials and supply chain interruptions continue to be a disruptive factor in the industry especially for rural jurisdictions. However, Arizona successfully achieved completion of the anticipated number of units produced or improved as noted in the Annual Action Plan. Arizona's largest county alone saw a 35% increase to numbers of persons experiencing homelessness over the last two (2) years. The economic hardships and the shortage of affordable housing are at crisis levels even though Arizona's unemployment rates have improved. All numbers were derived from the ADOH HDS Grants

Management System. Any variances between these numbers and PR23 reports pulled by HUD are due to timing of the PR23 report.

Please note the numbers reported in the Table 11 and Table 12 above include projects funding with non-federal state resources such as State Housing Trust Funds. Some projects funded with non-federal resources assisted existing properties serving special populations, which did not result in the creation of new units. This difference and inclusion of non-federal funds has led to the totals in Table 11 and Table 12 to equating to the same amount.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Future action plans will continue to consider the capacity of homeless housing providers as well as the extent to which the development community continues to participate in the production of affordable housing units, permanent supportive housing for persons who are homeless or of low moderate income and low moderate or homeless persons with special needs. How to set appropriate production goals when materials and supply chain disruptions continue will also be considered.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual	HTF Actual	
Extremely Low-income	8	12	56	
Low-income	35	31	0	
Moderate-income	0	9	0	
Total	43	52	56	

Table 13 - Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

Owner Occupied Housing Rehabiliation with CDBG or HOME funding continues to be impacted by construction material and supply chain delays. Lack of contractors willing to bid projects are slowing the pace of unit completions. Multi-family projects are experiencing the same construction material and supply chain delays. All numbers were derived from the ADOH HDS Grants Management System. Any variances between these numbers and PR23 reports pulled by HUD are due to timing of the PR23 report.

Totals reporting in Table 13 above do not equate to the totals in Tables 11 and 12 because Table 13 only includes the required federal sources and does not incorporate households assisted through non-federal sources.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

Per HUD Continuum of Care goals, all three Arizona Continua of Care work to ensure outreach services for 100% of the geographic area covered by the COC. It should be understood that Arizona is a large state and covers a huge geographic area (over 113,000 Sq. Miles) and includes a diversity of urban and rural settings as well as physical conditions (i.e., deserts, mountains) that make outreach to unsheltered populations difficult. The Continuums utilizes a number of strategies to ensure 100% coverage of outreach and to ensure individual needs are assessed:

- a) While HUD COC funds typically do not cover outreach, all three COCs leverage and coordinate with other federally funded programs including SAMHSA PATH (Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness) grants, ESG Emergency Solutions Grants to fund outreach programs as well as state and local funds. Through these coordinated efforts, dedicated homeless outreach covers 100% of the physical geography of the state and its population.
- b) The AZBOSCOC also funds a dedicated outreach/coordinated entry hotline linked to the State 211 system to provide access to persons in those rural counties with limited outreach capacity.
- c) Access to COC homeless coordinated entry programs is available in 100% of AZ communities. All utilize a standardized assessment tools to assess individual needs and have special processes for persons fleeing domestic violence.
- d) Through the recent HUD Special NOFO for Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness, the AZBOSCOC was awarded two grants to expand programs in three rural counties including expanded outreach in three rural counties.
- e) Local Coalitions to End Homeless sponsored by the AZBOSCOC also coordinate local outreach efforts in their communities through local government, faith based and other nonprofit efforts. As of 2023, the AZBOSCOC now has functioning LCEH including Coordinated Entry and case conferencing in all thirteen AZBOSCOC counties.

In 2023, AzDES staff actively participated in the annual Point-in-Time count conducted throughout the state. Moreover, the AzDES team regularly attends meetings of Arizona's Continuum of Care (CoC) committees, serving as board members, committee members, or participants for Maricopa, Pima, and Balance of State CoCs. AzDES collaborates with each CoC to discuss community needs, provide input on the CoC's comprehensive plan, offer assistance with Notice of Funding Opportunities (NOFOs) such as

ranking and rating, and provide application input. Additionally, AzDES staff reviews Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) goals and performance along with the CoC.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

AzDES awarded contracts to ESG-funded partners in seven of Arizona's fifteen counties, supporting 21 Emergency Shelter programs, four Street Outreach programs, 15 Rapid Rehousing programs, and 11 Homeless Prevention programs. During the reporting period, a total of 10,188 persons were housed in shelters. This consisted of 8,324 adults and 1,861 children.

ADOH has also been supporting the provision and expansion of Emergency Shelter and Transitional Housing options: a) Through the ADOH Rental Division, funding was provided for the new construction of a facility that will accommodate 120 transitional housing beds for homeless individuals. This project was completed in FY21. b) Through the CD&R Division, State Fiscal Recovery funding was provided for the acquisition and rehabilitation of hotel units to be converted to transitional housing units serving persons experiencing homelessness. A total of approximately 300 units are anticipated with 56 of those units already online and occupied at the end of FY21. CDBG-CV Funds have been awarded to 8 projects that will provide emergency and transitional housing for approximately 465 persons experiencing homelessness. c) Through AZ Housing Trust Fund, ADOH funds additional shelter capacity in Coconino County as well as coordinated funding to shelters in Maricopa County. These funds supplement Emergency Shelter funding provided by ESG and local entitites. d) Through the Continuum of Care, one Transitional Project (TH/RRH) is funded in Balance of State. Additionally, additional funding was awarded for Emergency Shelter hotel vouchers in rural communities through the HUD Special NOFO for Unsheltered and Rural homelessness.

Not all counties in the Balance of State Continuum of Care (BOSCOC) have a project based shelter. It is common practice for smaller Arizona communities to provide provide shelter through a motel voucher system. Some of these programs are funded through ESG while others are funded privately or through faith based organizations. Coconino County has the largest project based shelter in the thirteen (13) BOS counties.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Although efforts to end chronic homelessness continue to be a primary focus, prevention efforts have increased. CDBG-CV funding provided emergency subsistence payments to prevent renters from eviction and homeowners from foreclosure.

During times necessitating emergency response, Emergency Shelters play a crucial role in providing assistance to those in need. With funding from AzDES ESG, funded shelters offer services such as food, lodging, transportation, and supportive service case management. Each AzDES ESG funded shelter case management team conducts thorough assessments of clients as a part of the Coordinated Entry system to help individuals find appropriate housing options and stability supports. By offering these services, the Emergency Shelters ensure participants receive the support required to regain housing stability. The funding provided by AzDES ESG is crucial to the success of these efforts, and the Emergency Shelters remain an essential resource for individuals and families experiencing homelessness across the state.

The efforts continue in working to prevent and end homelessnes for families, youth and Children. In Maricopa County, there is a Stand Strong for Families coalition that established a system of Coordinated Entry for families and continues their collaboration to lift all families out of homelessness. ADOH funds a RRH program in Maricopa County that focuses on housing families.

ADOH is coordinating with ADES and other local stakeholders to develop a continuum of services for homeless youth (including for transition aged youth) including those transitioning from the foster care or juvenile corrections systems. While Tucson received HUD COC Youth Demonstration project funds, the other two Arizona COCs did not. ADOH has been convening stakeholders in the Maricopa and BOS around youth coordination and through state HTF administered by ADOH and DES state homeless funds, has recently awarded a number of initial local grants to support coordination efforts. State funding will establish state-wide youth outreach, drop in centers, shelter and supportive services for homeless youth statewide. As part of this effort, ADES and ADOH are also working to develop the necessary collaboration and collective working groups necessary to forward youth work and establish eligibility for future COC funded Youth Demonstration projects statewide. Maricopa County is currently awaiting a notice of decision from HUD regarding its pending Youth Homeless Demonstration Project application.

ADOH is working with the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS, Arizona's Medicaid Administrator) and the three state HUD Continua of Care to develop a shared data warehouse to better coordinate coordination of care and discharge coordination between the homeless and Medicaid systems across Arizona. Both Maricopa County Regional Continuum of Care and the AZBOSCOC have entered pilot data sharing agreements with AHCCCS to understand improve integrated health coordination for persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness or in emergency shelters, especially during the summer and other high health risk situations. Based on collected data, AHCCCS is revising its Managed Care network policies and processes to notifiy its providers of their members experiencing homelessness and connecting them to appropriate Medicaid funded services if eligible. AHCCCS has also used data to integrate homeless into their high cost/high need definitions for additional services.

The Governor's Office has convened an interagency work group including ADOH, ADES, the Arizona Department of Veterans Services, the Arizona Department of Corrections and AHCCCS to coordinate strategies around reducing homelessness including improved coordination of discharge from institutional settings.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Arizona has invested Federal and State resources to address homelessness statewide. The biggest identified barrier to reducing homelessness is lack of affordable housing stock in which to place persons or utilize voucher capacity. Key strategies and activities to reduce, shorten and prevent homelessness and chronic homelessness include:

As per AzDES' directives, all subcontractors are required to adhere to program goals and objectives, which entail identifying target populations to be served. It is required for all contracts to ensure that no less than sixty percent (60%) of all rapid rehousing and/or homeless prevention participants meet at least one (1) of the HUD priority population criteria.

Veteran programs have been highly successful in assisting veterans to find employment and secure permanent housing. Best practices show that permanent housing, either permanent supportive or rapid rehousing, especially for families is the best model. Therefore, less transitional housing is being funded. Within the AZBOSCOC, a dedicated Veteran's workgroup including all three AZ VA Hospitals and VA SSVF providers to achieve VA homeless housing placement goals. The Vas are currently exceeding their placement goals. The AZBOSCOC has created special Veteran HMIS reports and tools to support the work of identifying and navigating homeless veterans.

Subcontractors are required to adhere to standard performance outcomes which consist of HUD system performance measures including participants exiting a rapid rehousing program to permanent housing; rapid rehousing participants, number of program participants achieving income/employment and reduction in recidivism. These criteria also integrated into the objective scoring criteria used to evaluate and prioritize projects for HUD COC funding as required by HUD.

All three AZ COCs have established outreach, coordinated entry and case conferencing practices and use of HMIS to expedite identification, engagement and placement of persons experiencing homeless. This includes use of CoC HMIS prepared by name lists and standardized priorization processes. In addition to direct service impacts, all three Continua of Care use data from these systems to improve performance in reducing time homeless, prioritizing chronic and other high need populations and identifying barriers to housing. All three Continua and state funded housing programs have adopted and have implemented Housing First principles and required compliance by contract. ADOH and ADES have worked to utilize

and operationalize COC HMIS data to inform improvements at the program and system level. Some key activities have included use of HUD System Performance Measure tracking, evaluation benchmarking and creation of HMIS dashboards to facilitate CE and SPM data usage, and length of stay evaluation.

ADOH is working closely with its LIHTC and HTF programs to develop additional affordable housing capacity statewide. Incentives and special projects have been included to expand capacity for persons with disabilities and persons experiencing homelessness. In the last round of ADOH administered LIHTC 9% tax credit projects awarded in 2022, 20% of units created (366 out of 1874) were designated to serve these special populations.

The AzDES holds "Coffee Talk" sessions every two weeks to provide assistance and direction to our funded stakeholders. Furthermore, in association with the Arizona Housing Coalition, quarterly meetings were convened to bring together stakeholders representing other government partners, including ADOH, AHCCCS, and Arizona's three Continuums of Care (CoC) to discuss objectives, achievements, obstacles, and service delivery trends. These collaborative sessions highlight AzDES' dedication to delivering comprehensive and efficient services to all funded partners and service providers throughout Arizona.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

While ADOH and its Arizona Public Housing Authority (APHA) Division do not own or manage any public housing, the APHA has monitoring and compliance oversight of approximately 8,031 units through Project Based Contract Administration and approximately 230 Housing Choice Vouchers. The Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) administered by the APHA is a small Housing Authority confined to Yavapai County and one that requires inkind contributions from the State to administer. ADOH does not collect this information from the public housing authorities that service the nonentitlement regions of the State and has no authority over their programs, service areas, or outcomes.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

ADOH and its PHA Division do not own or manage any public housing. The State of Arizona will remain ready to assist any Public Housing Agency in Arizona with technical assistance and planning to ensure they promote resident involvement and continue to address the needs for public housing in their respective jurisdictions.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

The State of Arizona is available to assist any Public Housing Agency in Arizona with technical assistance and planning to improve operations as needed in the event that a property experiences distress.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

The Arizona Department of Housing collaborates with local, regional, and state government on a regular basis to remove barriers to affordable housing. Local governments are made aware of development barriers to multifamily housing during the Low Income Housing Tax Credit, Qualified Allocation Plan public participation process. The four (4) Councils of Governments in Arizona, along with their local government membership, are included in policy development for the Community Development Block Grant program especially as it relates to barriers to the construction of infrastructure in their jurisdictions. The ADOH administered Balance of State Continuum of Care involves local governments in the planning of local Point In Time counts in Arizona as well as the location of emergency shelters and other housing facilities for persons who are homeless. In the administration of the Weatherization Program, ADOH works with local utilities to ensure state and federal regulations do not restrict the ability to conduct weatherization improvements for low income homeowners. ADOH is a member of the National Association for State Community Assistance Programs, which is charged with advocating and enhancing the leadership role of states in preventing and reducing poverty. ADOH is a member of the National Council of State Housing Agencies, which advocates for affordable housing on behalf of its membership before Congress, the Administration, and several federal agencies. ADOH is also a member of the Council of State Community Development Agencies which advocates for community development, affordable housing, local economic development and state-local relations activities especially those serving low to moderate income communities and residents.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

ADOH addresses obstacles to meeting underserved needs on many fronts. Through the implementation of the CDBG program, ADOH provides technical assistance to non metropolitan local governments to assist with the planning, development, and phasing of critical infrastructure, housing, and public service activates for underserved areas and households. As the lead agency for the Balance of State Continuum of Care, ADOH provides technical assistance to homeless providers throughout the state in the conducting Point In Time counts, use of the VI SPDAT assessment tool, use of socialserve.com, use of HMIS, and most importantly, the collaboration with local service providers, law enforcement, and the emergency services to ensure every resource is available to address homelessness. Through its LCEHs, the AZBOSCOC currently has over 300 agencies statewide working towards CoC goals including private sector, faith based, governmental and non profit partners.

n the implementation of the Federal and State Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program, ADOH has prioritized supportive housing, nonprofit owned housing, and housing on tribal land. In addition, ADOH has prioritized funding for development projects with community WIFI, proximity to amenities,

below market loans in underserved areas, senior, family and homeownership conversion projects, smoke-free housing, energy efficiency and projects agreeing to a waiver of the qualified contract. ADOH received a competitive award of HUD 811 funds to provide rental assistance for extremely low income persons with a developmental disability and is implementing it through the LIHTC program. ADOH uses HOME, National HTF and State HTF funds to provide gap financing for LIHTC projects that are able to demonstrate a need for the financing.

The Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program has been coordinated with the State HTF program funds.

AzDES has established specific program goals and objectives for all subcontractors. These objectives include providing services to specific target populations, as outlined in the contractual agreements. A minimum of 60% of participants enrolled in rapid re-housing or homeless prevention programs must meet one or more of the priority population criteria. These criteria are inclusive of individuals who are chronically homeless, disabled, struggling with substance abuse issues, recently released from an institution or substance abuse facility, aging out of child foster care, domestic violence survivors, young adults aged 18-24, veterans, or aged 62 or older. It is imperative that all subcontractors comply with these requirements to ensure the effective and efficient delivery of services to those in need.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

ADOH requires grantees to comply with the HUD lead based paint regulations implementing Title X of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992. These regulations cover the CDBG, HOME, ESG, and HOPWA programs, and identify the appropriate type of activity to control lead paint hazards in projects using federal funds. Entities funded with these programs must ensure occupants are: 1) notified of the hazards of lead based paint; 2) conduct a visual assessment, paint testing, or risk assessment, depending on the activity; and 3) conduct lead hazard reduction activities, including paint stabilization, interim controls, standard treatments, or abatement, depending on the requirements for the activity type as identified in 24 CFR Part 35.

The ADOH Qualified Allocation Plan Section XI. Rehabilitation Projects states the following for Lead Based Paint:

"L. For properties built before 1978, use lead-safe work practices during renovation, remodeling, painting and demolition."

"M. Complete a Phase I Environmental Assessment for all projects and a Hazardous Materials Study (asbestos and lead paint) for projects built before 1980. The minimum square footages in Section IV Interior Design are only applicable to rehabilitation where the Applicant is changing the structure of the unit to change the number of bedrooms in the unit or otherwise change the exterior footprint of the unit." In addition, ADOH CD&R staff monitored all contracts to ensure they have a written plan that follows standard operating procedures for lead safe practices. Through their monitoring of assisted units

and beneficiary file documentation, no issues of noncompliance with federal lead based paint regulations were found in FY2021.

Additionally, ADOH: 1) collaborates with communities to reduce housing related lead based paint hazards; 2) encourages risk assessment for lead based paint being part of every home inspection; and 3) refers families with children to Arizona Department of Health Services, Office of Environmental Health for blood testing if lead based paint is found in units proposed for rehabilitation. The Arizona Department of Health Services maintains the lead exposure registry for Arizona. The program develops lead poisoning prevention programs, investigates cases with elevated blood lead levels, and conducts educational outreach activities. ADOH encourages training related to lead based paint hazards and will require that grantees utilize staff and contractors that have the appropriate training and certification. ADOH is a member of the Arizona Partnership for Healthy Communities, a body organized to promote health issues related to housing.

ADES programs assist individuals and not housing units. Therefore LBP does not apply.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

ADOH's antipoverty strategy is based on helping families to move to economic self sufficiency through employment opportunity and the provision of affordable housing. ADOH rehabilitated 95 owner occupied housing units, and committed funds for units assisting 489 homeless persons with affordable housing.

ADOH is available to provide assistance to local government in structuring effective economic development and job creation activity through the use of CDBG funds.

AzDES Homeless Coordination Office is committed to supporting homeless service providers throughout the state by providing access to training and technical assistance. To this end, the office regularly hosts Coffee Talk meetings where providers can come together to learn and share knowledge and resources.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

ADOH is a department of Arizona State government headed by a Governor appointed Director who, in conjunction with one (1) Deputy Director and three (3) Assistant Deputy Directors, oversees all activities of the agency. ADOH contracts with local governments, public housing authorities, nonprofit and for profit entities, and tribal entities to undertake the activities prescribed in the Consolidated Plan. In order to develop and maintain a strong institutional structure, ADOH requires a minimum standard of capacity prior to entering into funding contracts to ensure that federal funding may be utilized in the most effective manner as possible to meet the needs of low income persons in the State.

ADOH develops institutional structure by supporting capacity building efforts for local government, nonprofit organizations, and especially regional government like the four (4) rural Councils of

Government (COG) in Arizona. ADOH provides each rural COG with a Technical Assistance Contract for assisting local governments in developing viable CDBG projects. ADOH participates in a Weatherization Program Peer to Peer exchange held approximately quarterly to provide technical assistance to local governments and community action agencies in the conduct of their weatherization programs, which rely on private sector contractors. The private sector is an important collaborator bringing additional resources and expertise that can be used to supplement existing services or fill gaps in the system. Lenders, affordable housing developers, business and economic development organizations, and private service providers offer a variety of assistance to residents such as health care, small business assistance, home loan programs, and supportive housing, among others.

ADOH provides technical assistance to Arizona's twenty two (22) self governing tribes to enable them to access ADOH's Housing Rehabilitation and LIHTC programs multifamily housing production programs. ADOH operates the Arizona Public Housing Authority (APHA), administering a Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) for Yavapai County, eighty (80) of which are restricted to homeless veterans through the Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing program. The APHA works closely with the Veterans Administration Medical Center to identify those in need. The APHA also administers approximately sixty (60) portable vouchers for Section 8 participants porting in from housing authorities throughout the country. The APHA also has a competitively awarded contract to provide Project Based Contract Administration throughout the state, which entails administering approximately 112 HUD subsidized rental properties, comprised of over 8,000 rental units. Through this program, participating properties are subsidized, allowing extremely low income tenants to pay approximately thirty percent (30%) of their adjusted monthly income toward rent.

AzDES Homeless Coordination Office collaborates with other AzDES departments to help providers connect clients to valuable services such as SNAP, Workforce Development, Childcare, LIHEAP, LIWAP, ERAP, and CAPS/CAA programs. By working together, these providers can create a more comprehensive and effective safety net for those who are experiencing homelessness in Arizona.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Recognizing the collaborative relationship between affordable housing and human, social, and supportive services, ADOH established, and continues to strengthen, partnerships with the Arizona Department of Economic Security (DES), the Community Services Administration, the Family Assistance Administration, the Aging and Adult Administration, the Arizona Early Intervention Program, the Arizona Department of Health Services, the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System, the Arizona Department of Veterans Services, the Arizona Commerce Authority, and the Governor's Office for Children, Youth, and Families. Coordination of public and private housing and social service agencies is strengthened by the following partnerships:

The Arizona Housing Coalition provides leadership in statewide efforts to end homelessness through advocacy, education, and coordination with local communities and initiatives.

The Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (PRA) Program. In partnership with DES, the ADOH is implementing a HUD 811 grant, which provides rental assistance to extremely low income/developmentally disabled (DD) persons. ADOH will administer the program utilizing in service LIHTC and other federally funded units in Arizona.

Regional Behavioral Health Authorities (RBHAs). AZ Division of Behavioral Health contracts with RBHAs who provide integrated health care through a provider service network. Each RBHA has a Housing Coordinator who is very engaged in the COC and housing process. AHCCCS also has an established housing department who not only oversees AHCCCS state funded housing capacity for persons experiencing homelessness and Serious mental Illness, but they also coordinate with ADOH, ADES and other state partners on strategies to expand homeless coordination. In 2022, AHCCCS was awarded an 1115 demonstration waiver from CHS that will allow use of Medicaid funding to provide additional services for homeless persons determined SMI. These services will include Medicaid funding for transitional shelter, supportive services, street outreach and move in assistance for the eligible homeless populations. These services will be coordinated with the Continua of Care.

Arizona SOAR State Steering Committee was created in June 2014 with TA being provided by SAMHSA, throughout the process of implementation. This project is designed to increase access to the disability income benefit programs administered by the Social Security Administration (SSA) for eligible adults who are homeless or at risk of homelessness and have a mental illness and/or a co occurring substance use disorder. Each COC has a local lead assisting with the execution of the Action Plan. ADOH continues to chair this workgroup and ongoing efforts.

The Arizona Department of Housing assisted with session content and speakers for the Arizona Housing Forum in August of 2023 at the J.W. Marriott Resort in Tucson, Arizona. The Forum is now hosted by the Arizona Housing Coalition and is a two (2) day professional housing conference with information sessions designed to impart new ideas and to engage Arizona's housing professionals in productive dialogues on improving Arizona's affordable housing environment. The forum included a virtual track for sessions surrounding homelessness issues. Over 500 persons registerd to attend the conference representing a diverse cross section through government, nonprofit, and for profit entities.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

The Arizona Department of Housing contracts annually with the South West Fair Housing Council (SWFHC) to provide annual fair housing training, and outreach throughout Arizona. SWFHC conducts both virtual and in-person Fair Housing trainings as well as workshops throughout Arizona targeting housing consumers and providers. SWFHC has discovered that virtual training is reaching more of the housing consumers than previously. SWFHC also stocks locations such as city offices and nonprofit offices with fair housing literature for distribution to Arizona residents.

ADOH continues to update fair housing education and outreach programs to be responsive to changes in

fair housing law, changing cultural contexts of communities, Limited English Proficiency populations, and other demographic changes. ADOH continued to fund fair housing trainings that include proper referral procedures at no cost to public and private agencies. ADOH provided all CDBG subrecipients ongoing fair housing technical assistance. ADOH Request for Proposals (RFP) for housing and community development related projects and funding will require that proposals address how they will Affirmatively Further Fair Housing (AFFH) based on its impact to racial and ethnic concentrations of poverty and protected classes.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The draft CAPER was posted on September 8, 2023 on the publications page of the ADOH website. The Solicitation for Comments for the 2021 CAPER was publicized on September 6, 2022 through release of an Information Bulletin #45-23 which is posted to the ADOH website as well as emailed out to all local government, public and private partners and other interested persons on the ADOH mailing list. Additionally, solicitation for public comment was advertised in five (5) newspapers, with three (3) of those in rural Arizona. These newspapers were the Arizona Republic in Phoenix, Arizona with a statewide service area; Arizona Daily Star in Tucson, Arizona; Yuma Sun in Yuma, Arizona; the Arizona Daily Sun in Flagstaff, Arizona.; and the Kingman Daily Miner, which serves the City of Kingman and surrounding communiting of Golden Valley, Meadview, Dolan Springs and Chloride, Arizona. In all cases, the solicitation included a minimum of 15 day comment period. All Publications include information on how to obtain copies of reports for those individuals needing an alternative format and those spanish speaking persons needing assistance.

As of the date of the conclusion of the public comment period on 9/25/2023, no public comments were received.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

ADOH utilized CDBG funds in accordance with the objectives outlined in the Consolidated Plan and Action Plan. No changes in program objectives occurred. ADOH does not intend to change its program objectives at this time. The addition of CARES Act CDBG CV funds will adhere to the provisions of the Act as well as CDBG program and other federal crosscutting regulations.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 24 CFR 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in 24 CFR §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

All HOME funded properties that were to have been inspected this program year were inspected. ADOH has added the names of the properties in a table attached to this CAPER. The issues detected primarily concerned file "housekeeping" items where clarifications needed to be made. Other predominant items included minor maintenance issues. ADOH Monitored seventy five (75) properties. A list of properties monitored is attached to this CAPER as a separate document.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 24 CFR 91.520(e) and 24 CFR 92.351(a)

ADOH Compliance staff reviews each file for compliance with Affirmative Marketing requirements. ADOH properties were found to be proactive about reaching out to individuals of all races and ethnicities and with all types of disabilities who might not otherwise apply to live in the HOME assisted units. Typical mediums for advertising are newspapers, internet, socialserve.com, radio ads, flyers, and mailings.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

No program income was used for the implementation or completion of projects during this reporting period.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 24 CFR 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 24 CFR 91.320(j)

In the implementation of the Federal and State Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program, ADOH has prioritized funding for development projects with community WIFI, proximity to amenities, below market loans in underserved areas, senior, family and homeownership conversion projects, smoke-free housing, energy efficiency and projects agreeing to a waiver of the qualified contract. ADOH also follows guiding principles in its implementation of the Qualified Allocation Plan including the responsibility to low-income housholds, transperancy throughout the process, equal distribution of resources across the state. Through the State Fiscal Recovery program and CDBG-CV program, ADOH has prioritized

supportive housing for special populations, ADOH uses HOME, National HTF and State HTF funds to provide gap financing for LIHTC projects that are able to demonstrate a need for the financing.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility		
assistance to prevent homelessness of the		
individual or family	60	37
Tenant-based rental assistance	29	37
Units provided in permanent housing facilities		
developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA		
funds	0	0
Units provided in transitional short-term		
housing facilities developed, leased, or		
operated with HOPWA funds	0	0

Table 14 - HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

ADOH assisted a total of seventy-four (74) HOPWA eligible households for the 2022 reporting year. This included thirty-seven (37) STRMU and thirty-seven (37) Tenant Based Rental assistance.

CR-56 - HTF 91.520(h)

Describe the extent to which the grantee complied with its approved HTF allocation plan and the requirements of 24 CFR part 93.

The ADOH was 100% in compliance with its approved National HTF allocation plan and the requirements of 24 CFR part 93. There were no new housing units completed at 30% or below of Area Median Income through the expenditure of National HTF. A Notice of Funds Available (NOFA) inviting applications for Rental Development to be funded with National Housing Trust Funds was issuedJune 2, 2023. New projects under this NOFA have been awarded as of the close of this FY22 reporting year.

Tenure Type	0 – 30%	0% of 30+ to	% of the	Total	Units	Total
	AMI	poverty line	higher of	Occupied	Completed,	Completed
		(when	30+ AMI or	Units	Not	Units
		poverty line	poverty line		Occupied	
		is higher	to 50% AMI			
		than 30%				
		AMI)				
Rental	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homebuyer	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 15 - CR-56 HTF Units in HTF activities completed during the period

CR-58 - Section 3

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Total Labor Hours	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Total Number of Activities	0	0	0	0	0
Total Labor Hours		0			0
Total Section 3 Worker Hours		0			0
Total Targeted Section 3 Worker Hours		0			0

Table 15 – Total Labor Hours

Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Public Housing		0			Ö
Targeted Workers		<u>u</u>			<u> </u>
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Other Funding		0			Ö
Targeted Workers.					_
Direct, on-the job training (including apprenticeships).		0			0
Indirect training such as arranging for, contracting for, or paying tuition		0			0
for, off-site training.		<u> </u>			<u> </u>
Technical assistance to help Section 3 workers compete for jobs (e.g.,		0			Ō
resume assistance, coaching).		_			_
Outreach efforts to identify and secure bids from Section 3 business		0			0
concerns. Technical assistance to help Section 3 business concerns understand		_			
and bid on contracts.		0			0
Division of contracts into smaller jobs to facilitate participation by		_			_
Section 3 business concerns.		O			<mark>0</mark>
Provided or connected residents with assistance in seeking employment					
including: drafting resumes, preparing for interviews, finding job		0			0
opportunities, connecting residents to job placement services.		_			_
Held one or more job fairs.		0			0
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that can		<u> </u>			0
provide direct services or referrals.		0)
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that provide		_			
one or more of the following: work readiness health screenings,		0			0
interview clothing, uniforms, test fees, transportation.					
Assisted residents with finding child care.		0			0
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend community college or a four		O			0
year educational institution.		<u>u</u>)
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend vocational/technical training.		0			0
Assisted residents to obtain financial literacy training and/or coaching.		0			0
Bonding assistance, guaranties, or other efforts to support viable bids		0			0
from Section 3 business concerns.		<u>u</u>)
Provided or connected residents with training on computer use or online		0			0
technologies.					<u> </u>
Promoting the use of a business registry designed to create		0			Ō
opportunities for disadvantaged and small businesses.					
Outreach, engagement, or referrals with the state one-stop system, as		_			
designed in Section 121(e)(2) of the Workforce Innovation and		0			0
Opportunity Act.		_			_
Other.		0			0

Table 16 – Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program

Narrative

The State of Arizona does not expend ESG or HOPWA funds for any Section 3 covered activities. Additionally, per regulation, Arizona began implementing the new Section 3 requirements with all new contracts as of November 2020. Arizona collects Section 3 documentation at the close out of each project. There were no rental projects using HOME or National Housing Trust Funds completed during FFY22 that were required to track Section 3 according to new standard of worker hours and therefore no data to report.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in e-snaps

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name ARIZONA
Organizational DUNS Number 086704488

UEI

EIN/TIN Number 866004791

Indentify the Field Office SAN FRANCISCO

Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or

subrecipient(s) will provide ESG

assistance

ESG Contact Name

Prefix Mr First Name Keon

Middle Name

Last Name Montgomery

Suffix

Title Assistant Deputy Director, Programs

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1 1110 W Washington Street

Street Address 2 Suite 280
City Phoenix
State AZ
ZIP Code 85007Phone Number 6027711080

Extension 1209

Fax Number

Email Address keon.montgomery@azhousing.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix Mr

First Name Benjamin
Last Name Kauffman

Suffix

Title Finance & Business Operations Administrator

Phone Number 4804662873

Extension

bkauffman@azdes.gov

Email Address

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 07/01/2021
Program Year End Date 06/30/2022

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: WESTERN ARIZONA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

City: Yuma State: AZ

Zip Code: 85365, 2039 **DUNS Number:** 102820073

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government **ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 60858

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: COMMUNITY BRIDGES

City: Mesa **State:** AZ

Zip Code: 85202, 9098 **DUNS Number:** 143328099

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 161188

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CATHOLIC COMMUNITY SERVICES

City: TUCSON CONSORTIUM

State: AZ
Zip Code: ,
DUNS Number:

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 26535

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Central Arizona Shelter Services

City: Phoenix State: AZ

Zip Code: 85007, 3101 **DUNS Number:** 148801558

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 237973

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Community Action Human Resource Agency

City: Eloy **State:** AZ

Zip Code: 85131, 2511 **DUNS Number:** 020324617

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 200193

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Flagstaff Shelter Services, Inc.

City: Flagstaff
State: AZ

Zip Code: 86002, 1808 **DUNS Number:** 833108801

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 253832

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: A New Leaf

City: Mesa State: AZ

Zip Code: 85203, 8033 **DUNS Number:** 611923640

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 100258

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Old Concho Community Assistance Center

City: Concho State: AZ

Zip Code: 85924, 0050 **DUNS Number:** 020839507

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 100756

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: UMOM NEW DAY CENTERS, INC.

City: Phoenix State: AZ

Zip Code: 85008, 6812 **DUNS Number:** 833209158

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 581215

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: PRIMAVERA FOUNDATION

City: Tucson State: AZ

Zip Code: 85701, 2600 **DUNS Number:** 148847700

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 125268

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Native American Connections

City: Phoenix State: AZ

Zip Code: 85012, 1848

DUNS Number:

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 2822

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Central Arizona Shelter Services, Inc.

City: Phoenix State: AZ

Zip Code: 85007, 3101 **DUNS Number:** 148801558

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 237973

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Cornerstone Mission

City: Kingman State: AZ

Zip Code: 86409, 3615

DUNS Number:

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 138593

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Pima County Community Services Employment and Training

City: Tucson
State: AZ

Zip Code: 85713, DUNS Number:

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government **ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 230762

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: US VETS

City: Prescott State: AZ

Zip Code: 86301, 3244 **DUNS Number:** 826037363

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 86620

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	144
Children	56
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	200

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	508
Children	468
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	976

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	8,990
Children	1,841
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	3
Total	10,831

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	544
Children	153
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	1
Total	698

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	10,291
Children	2,414
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	20
Total	12,705

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	7,369
Female	5,209
Transgender	71
Don't Know/Refused/Other	1
Missing Information	55
Total	12,705

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	2,414
18-24	1,016
25 and over	9,267
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	8
Total	12,705

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	798	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic				
Violence	2,922	0	0	0
Elderly	1,330	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	143	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	3,049	0	0	0

Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally				
III	4,570	0	0	0
Chronic Substance				
Abuse	1,893	0	0	0

Persons with Disabi	lities:			
Other Disability	7,248	0	0	0
Total (Unduplicated if				
possible)	0	0	0	0

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	0
Total Number of bed-nights provided	0
Capacity Utilization	0.00%

Table 24 - Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

Performance standards developed collaboratively with the three Arizona CoCs are reviewed on a quarterly basis. AzDES actively participates on the board or committees of each CoC for ongoing consultation. AzDES has partnered with the Arizona Housing Coalition to coordinate a quarterly convening to discuss community needs across the state, as well as an annual survey. In addition, AzDES is a sponsor of the Annual Homeless Conference and presents ESG performance data during a standing conference session attended by many community leaders and stakeholders.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	69,863	64,082	46,120
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	07	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &			
Stabilization Services - Services	20,678	10,123	33,423
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	90,541	74,205	79,543

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	270,461	165,247	190,531
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	132,101	14,009	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	158,840	259,523	197,061
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	561,402	438,779	387,592

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022	
Essential Services	253,692	9,509	11,410	
Operations	618,065	444,252	784,283	
Renovation	13,00	0	0	
Major Rehab	0	0	0	
Conversion	0	0	0	
Subtotal	884,757	453,761	795,693	

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022	
Street Outreach	5,025	4,676	6,304	
HMIS	0	5,488	26,136	
Administration	132,806	35,259	86,314	

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2020	2021	2022
9,007,262	1,674,531	1,012,168	1,012,168

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2020	2021	2022
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	862,982	1,427,793 874,419	2,366,384 2,198,070
State Government	1,743,887		
Local Government	0	0	0
Private Funds	1,554,473	1,056,064	0
Other	0		
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	4,161,342	3,355,276	4,564,455

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2020	2021	2022
	5,835,873	4,367,444	5,300,295

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities