

AZBOSCOC
Renewal Project Scoring
2023 NOFO-Approved by Governance Advisory Board 8/9/2023

Item	Measurement Scale	Maximum Points	Source of Data	Notes/Comments
<p>Total % of adults at entry with 1 or more conditions</p> <p>The purpose of this is to document that highest acuity and need are being served—that is why it is applied to both PSH and RRH. Comments were that RRH doesn't require a condition—and yet most served in RRH have a condition of some sort</p>	<p>PSH 90% or more-10 pts. 70%-89% 8 pts. 60%-79%-6 pts. 50-59%-4 pts. Less than 49%-0</p> <p>RRH 40% or more-10 pts. 30%-39% 8 pts. 25%-29%-6 pts. 20-24%-4 pts.</p>	10	APR Q13a2	
<p>All adults who had some sort of earned or other income by start and annual assessment/exit status</p>	<p>PSH 40%+ of adults had some sort of income—8 points 30%-39% of adults had some sort of income—6 points 20%-29% of adults had some sort of income-4 pts 10%-19% of adults had some sort of income-2 pts Less than 10% of adults had some sort of income—0 points</p> <p>RRH 60%+ of adults had some sort of income—5 points</p>	8	APR Q18 Total number of adults (at annual assessment/leavers) divided by total number of adults who had assessment or left)	

Item	Measurement Scale	Maximum Points	Source of Data	Notes/Comments
	50%-59% of adults had some sort of income—4 points 40%-49% of adults had some sort of income-3 pts 30%-39% of adults had some sort of income-2 pts Less than 29% of adults had some sort of income—0 points			
# of participants that have at least 1 source of health insurance (includes stayers)--based on children and adults	80%-100%--5 pts. 70%- 79%--4 pts. 60-69%-3 pts 50%-59%-2 pts	5	APR Q21	
Length of time between intake and Housing Move in no more than 60 days for those who move in	60% or more of households move in within 60 days-8 points 51%-59% move in within 90 days-6 points The majority of households take more than 90 days to move in – 2 points	8	APR Q22c	
The number of households that did not return to a place not meant for human habitation during the project year. (Either the household remained in the project, or if the household left, they went to a temporary or other permanent setting	No households left-8 points --90% -100% of households who left went to a permanent or safe bridge/temporary setting.—8 points --80% -89% of households who left	8	APR Q23	

Item	Measurement Scale	Maximum Points	Source of Data	Notes/Comments
	<p>went to a permanent or safe bridge/temporary setting.—7 points</p> <p>--70% -79% of households who left went to a permanent or safe bridge/temporary setting.—6 points</p> <p>--60% -69% of households who left went to a permanent or safe bridge/temporary setting.—5 points</p> <p>59% and less of households who left went to a permanent or safe bridge/temporary setting—0 points</p>			
<p>% of adult persons served who met the definition of CH—PSH at entry</p> <p>For RRH—the standard is % of adult persons who met the definition of CH and/or survivor of domestic violence (broad definition) at entry .</p>	<p>PSH</p> <p>80%-100%--10 pts.</p> <p>79-79%-8 pts</p> <p>60-69%-6 pts</p> <p>50-59%-4pts</p> <p>40-49%-2pts</p> <p>less than 40% -0</p> <p>RRH</p> <p>20% or more-10 pts.</p> <p>15-19%-8pts</p> <p>11-14%-6pts</p> <p>5-10%-4 pts</p> <p>4%-2pts</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>APR Q26a</p> <p>APR Q26a</p> <p>APR Q14a</p>	

Item	Measurement Scale	Maximum Points	Source of Data	Notes/Comments
	less than 4%-0pts			
Utilization of Funds for the last full contract period for the specific project	75%-85% of contracts funds expended by end of Q3-5 points 65%-74% of contracts funds expended by end of Q3 -3 points Less than 64% of funds expended by end of Q3-0 points	5	Housing Specialists/RFP	
Acceptable Audit Review	No Findings-5 pts Findings there were resolved -3 pts Findings not resolved-0 points	5	Housing Specialists based on Monitoring	
RFP Submitted on a timely basis	100%-5 points 95%-99%-3 points <95%-0 points	5	Housing Specialists based on Monitoring	
Data Quality	95-98% - -5 points (rounding is okay)	5	Solari	
# of households served in the project that were case conferenced as a part of coordinated entry	80%-100%-5 pts 75%-84%- 4pts 70-74%-3pts Less than 70%-0 pts	5	Subrecipient	
Agency demonstrates equity in staff composition-and demonstrates by policy that addresses culture, disparity, equity	Documentation-3 pts No documentation-0 pts	3	Subrecipient with submittal of policy(ies)-title pages or Table of Contents only	

Item	Measurement Scale	Maximum Points	Source of Data	Notes/Comments
<p>The project capacity –How many households can the project serve in a 12 month period? For PSH—would generally be expected that capacity would be based on how many units are typically used + some accounting for a small turnover rate.</p> <p>For RRH it is generally assumed that each project unit will turnover twice during the project year.</p> <p>Project Household Capacity_____</p> <p># of Households Served during the last full contract year. _____</p>	<p>The project served 75%-100%+ of its capacity – 10 points</p> <p>The project served 60%-74% of its capacity—8 points</p> <p>This project served--50%-59% of its capacity—6 points</p> <p>This project served less than 50% of units were occupied 10 to 12 months of the contract period—0 points</p>	10	Subrecipient	
<p>Agency practices Housing First—and use of the Housing First Assessment.</p>	<p>Housing First Assessment completed on 95%- 100% of participants that are housed in the project-8 pts</p> <p>Housing First Assessment completed on 80%-94% of participants that are housed in the project-6 pts</p> <p>Housing First Assessment completed on 70% -79% participants that are housed in the project-4 pts</p> <p>Housing First Assessment completed on 60% -69% participants that are</p>	8	Subrecipient— For document provides one example of completed Housing First Assessment to Housing Specialists by July 13 th from a participant housed during the last contract year for the project.	

Item	Measurement Scale	Maximum Points	Source of Data	Notes/Comments
	<p>housed in the project-2 pts</p> <p>Housing First Assessment completed on 59 or less% participants that are housed in the project-0 pts</p>			
LCEH Meetings Attendance. Member of project agency staff attends LCEH meetings	<p>LCEH Meetings Attendance</p> <p>75% or more-3 pts</p> <p>60%-70%-2pts</p> <p>50-59%-1 pt</p> <p>less than 50%-0 pts</p>	3	LCEH provides documentation	
Code of Conduct complies with HUD Requirements	<p>Code of Conduct complies-2 pts</p> <p>We cannot update our code to comply-0pts</p>	2	Subrecipient	
Match documentation	TBD		TBD	Need to align with monitoring requirements
Narrative documentation about how agency actively participates in case conferencing and coordinated entry related to resources in each project which it contracts.	Narrative will be required but not scored until 2024	NA	Subrecipient	
Communication networks and follow up related to SOAR and other mainstream resources including –is the agency considering community assistor status—see additional information here https://www.azahcccs.gov/Resources/CommunityPartners/HEAplus.html	Narrative will be required but not scored until 2024	NA	Subrecipient	
		100		

2024 Likely Scoring Attributes:

- **Disparity prevention as evidenced in agency staff, board composition, and persons served.**
- **LCEH has involvement and participation of persons with lived experience that have visited the agency and provided feedback and input in agency services related to persons experiencing homelessness.**

