2023 Balance of State Continuum of Care Application Bonus Project Scoring Worksheet for Reviewers (Please complete one scoring worksheet for each application) Approval by the Governance Advisory Board 8/9/2023

Project Name Type of application □ CoC Bonus—PSH
□ CoC Bonus−PSH
□ CoC Bonus−RRH
□ DV Bonus-RRH

Area	Criteria	Scale
Threshold- Q1-Q6	Not scored—Based on the application review, does the agency meet the threshold requirements	Yes No Not Sure
Budget Request	Not Scored—Based on the application review, does the initial budget seem reasonable for the proposed project?	Yes No Not Sure
Financial and Management Structure- Q7-Q9	Did the applicant demonstrate that they have a functioning accounting system operated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles?	 Applicant demonstrated functioning accounting system – 4 points Applicant did not demonstrate functioning accounting system-0 points
Leveraging Funds Q10	Did the applicant demonstrate Current experience in leveraging funds from multiple sources (i.e., federal, state, local, private sector)	 Applicant provided clear examples of how they leverage funds-4 points Applicant provided minimal examples about how they leverage funds-2 point Applicant provided no examples of leveraging funds-0 points
Project Application-	Question Numbering starts Over	
Project Description Q1	Did the applicant provide a complete and clear description about the project and target population?	 Project Description was complete-8 points Project Description was adequate-4 points Project Description was insufficient and did not provide a full overview—0 points
Outreach and Engagement Q1	Did the applicant describe how outreach and engagement will take place and how participants will be identified for the project?	 Outreach description was complete – 4 points Outreach description was not adequate – 0 points
Unmet Need Q1aQ2a	Was the unmet need in the community that this project will address described sufficiently? Was local data used to describe need? How did the agency consult with the LCEH related to need and gaps that were identified in the LCEH Plan developed for the Special NOFO?	 Unmet need information was complete and compelling—8 points Unmet need was adequate—4 points Unmet need did not provide a compelling reason for the project—0 points

Area	Criteria	Scale
Housing Q3-Q8	Did the applicant explain relationships, what strategies will be used to locate reasonable/affordable rents and what actions the agency will take to promote affordable housing such as shared housing strategies?	 Applicant demonstrated clear plans to implement strategies to ensure the community has units that have reasonable rents. 4 points Applicant demonstrated basic plans to provide minimal support to ensure community has units that have reasonable rents. 2 points Applicant demonstrated no understanding about how to contribute to the community to promote reasonable rents-0
Coordination with Other Agencies, Partnerships Q9	Did the applicant clearly demonstrate how referrals and outreach will occur and how the agencies and programs that they coordinate and collaborate with to ensure program participants access need services and resources	 Applicant demonstrated clear collaborations and partnerships-4 points Applicant demonstrated basic minimal partnerships and collaborations 2 points Applicant demonstrated partnerships or collaborations
Coordinated Entry (CE) and Case Conferencing (CC) Q10	Did the applicant include the use of the VI-SPDAT and the local coordinated entry process? Did the agency describe how it participates in case conferencing?	 CE/CC activity and collaborations were clearly articulated —8 points CE/CC activities and collaboration was adequate —4 points CE/CC activities description was incomplete —0 points
HMIS/alternative that meet HUD standards Q10a, Q10b	Did the applicant explain how they will use HMIS or if a DV provider, use a comparable database?	 HMIS use or comparable database description was complete and clear—4 points HMIS use or comparable database description was adequate—2 points Agency did not provide sufficient information to indicate competent use of a client level database—0 points
Housing First Q11a-11h, Q16, Q17-	Did the applicant demonstrate an understanding of Housing First principles and how they will be implemented through the project? • No barriers to entry • No preconditions • Does not terminate program participants for lack of participation in services beyond normal tenancy rules. • Supportive services participation is voluntary	 Applicant demonstrated clear understanding of Housing First and strategies to implement—8 points Applicant demonstrated basic of understanding of Housing First and will need support for implementation—4 points Applicant demonstrated no understanding of Housing First –0 points
Justice, Equity, Diversity, Inclusion processes—services Q12-Q14	Did the applicant describe how strategies to implement justice, equity, diversity and inclusion will be implemented as a part of the program? • Use of appropriate assessments	 Applicant clearly explained and proposed activities that will promote justice, equity, diversity and inclusion—8 points Applicant demonstrated basic understanding of implementing services through a social justice and racial equity lens but will need additional support for implementation —4 points

Area	Criteria	Scale
	How outreach and referral will take place with to ensure racial equity How individuals coming from the justice system (i.e., jail or corrections) or other institutions will be served through the program.	Applicant demonstrated no understanding of how to implement strategies through a justice, equity, diversity, inclusion lens –0 points
Cultural	Did the applicant demonstrate	Cultural Understanding was clearly
Understanding	cultural understanding and sufficient	demonstrated — 4 points
Q15, Q15a	resources to effectively serve	Cultural Competency description was adequate
	individuals with different cultures.	-2 points
	Examples include ethnicity, age, elders, transition age youth, military, choice of gender identification, and languages?	Agency did not provide sufficient information to indicate that it has policies and practices that demonstrate cultural competence.—0 points
Permanent Housing	Did the applicant describe how	Description articulated clearly how connections
Q18	program participants will be	to permanent housing will be implemented8
	supported in remaining or obtaining	points
	permanent housing?	• Description was adequate—4 points
26 '	D:14 1: 41 1: 41	Description was incomplete—0 points
Mainstream	Did the applicant describe how the	Description articulated clearly how connections to preside the articular and a second s
Resources	program participant will be connected to mainstream resources	to mainstream resources will be implemented4
Q19	including SSI, SSDI, Food Stamps,	points • Description was adaquate 2 points
	Veteran Benefits and others?	 Description was adequate—2 points Description was incomplete—0 points
Social Services	Did the applicant describe how the	Description articulated clearly how social
Q1 and Q19	program participant will be	services, case management and individualized
	connected/provided social services to	service plans will be implemented4 points
	assist with obtaining childcare, food	Description was adequate—2 points
	assistance, TANF, early childhood	Description was incomplete—0 points
	education, and access to health care	
	benefits and resources. Did the	
	applicant explain their case	
	management process and how they	
	develop individualized service plans?	
Education	Did the applicant describe how the	Description articulated clearly how connections
Q19	agency works with homeless school	to education will be implemented-4 points
	liaisons or help clients/tenants in	Description was adequate—2 points
E. alama	enrolling in education activities?	Description was incomplete—0 points
Employment	Did the applicant describe how the	Description articulated clearly how connections to amplement will be implemented. A points
Q20	program participant would be connected to employment support	 to employment will be implemented4 points Description was adequate-2 points
	and what types of employment	 Description was adequate—2 points Description was incomplete—0 points
	support are provided?	- Description was meomplete—to points
Insurance	Did the applicant describe how	Description articulated clearly how individuals
SSDI/SOAR	clients/tenant are connected to	are connected-4 points
Q21	insurance and social security	Description was adequate—2 points
	(SSI/SSDI) benefits or a SOAR trained	Description was incomplete—0 points
	individual to apply for benefits?	

Area	Criteria	Scale
Survivors of Domestic Violence Q22, Q22a	Did the applicant explain how they will provide services in alignment with HUD guidelines related to survivors of domestic violence	 Applicant demonstrated clear plans to meeting program participants service needs-4 points Applicant demonstrated basic plans to provide minimal support to program participant service needs 2 points Applicant demonstrated no understanding of how to provide support services to program participants-0 points

Summary Scoring Matrix

Question	Maximum Points
Threshold	NA
Budget	NA
Financial Management Structure	4
Leveraging Funds	4
Project Description	8
Outreach and Engagement	4
Unmet Need	8
Housing	4
Coordination with Other Agencies	4
Coordinated Entry/Case Conferencing	8
HMIS and Comparable Database	4
Housing First	8
Justice, Equity, Inclusion, Diversity	8
Cultural Understanding	4
Permanent Housing	8
Mainstream Resources	4
Social Services	4
Education	4
Employment	4
Insurance/SSDI/SOAR	4
Survivors of Domestic Violence	4
	100