

**2019 Balance of State Continuum of Care Application
 Bonus Project Scoring Worksheet
 (Please complete one scoring worksheet for each application)**

Your Name _____

Name of Agency _____

Project Name _____

1 and 1a. Unresolved monitoring findings	Did the applicant have any findings?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No findings –2 points • Findings that have been resolved –1 points • Findings that have not been resolved –0 points
Comments		Place score here
2 and 3. Local Continuum to End Homelessness (LCEH) involvement	Is the applicant involved with LCEH in the communities they serve?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attends all meetings and participates on a minimum of one committee-4 points • Attends 75% of LCEH meetings-2pts • Does not attend 75% of meetings-0 points
Comments		Place score here
4. 2019 Point in Time Count	Did the Applicant describe involvement in the local Point In Time Count?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The applicant participated – 3 points • The applicant did not participate – 0 Points
Comments		Place score here
5-11. Agency Operations/ Financial	Did the applicant document ability to carry out the project? Were appropriate financial operations documented? Did the applicant present a realistic timeline to complete the project?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agency documented capacity and ability to implement project –8 points • Agency is capable but will need assistance in developing procedures to meet ADOH/HUD Guidelines –4 points • Agency would need significant support to implement the project –2 points • Agency does not have the capacity to implement the project-0 points
Comments		Place score here

Project Information		
1,2, and 3. Project Description	Did the applicant provide a complete and clear description about the project and target population?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Description was complete-8 points • Project Description was adequate-4 points • Project Description was insufficient and did not provide a full overview—0 points
Comments		Place score here
4. Unmet Need	Was the unmet need in the community that this project will address described sufficiently? Was local data used to describe need? Was the 2019 Unsheltered Count cited as part of the data used?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unmet need information was complete and compelling—8 points • Unmet need was adequate—4 points • Unmet need did not provide a compelling reason for the project—0 points
Comments:		Place score here
5. Support and Wraparound Services/ Collaboration	Did the applicant provide a clear description about how wraparounds services will be provided? Is it clear what agencies will collaborate to provide services to participants?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wraparound services and collaborations were clearly articulated—5 points • Wraparound services description was adequate—3 points • Wraparound services description was incomplete—0 points
Comments:		Place score here
6, 6a, 7, and 7a. Outreach	Did the applicant describe how outreach will take place and how participants will be identified for the project?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outreach descriptions was complete—5 points • Outreach description was not adequate—0 points
Comments		Place score here
HMIS		
8,1a,1b,1c HMIS	Did the applicant explain how they will use HMIS or if a DV provider, use a comparable database?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HMIS use or comparable database description was complete and clear—4 points • HMIS use or comparable database description was adequate—2 points • Agency did not provide sufficient information to indicate competent use of a client level database—0 points

Comments		Place score here
CULTURAL COMPETENCY		
1. Cultural Competency	Did the applicant demonstrate cultural competency and sufficient resources to effectively serve individuals with different cultures including cultures i.e. ethnic, senior or military, and languages?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cultural Competency was clearly demonstrated—6 points • Cultural Competency description was adequate —4 points • Agency did not provide sufficient information to indicate that it has policies and practices that demonstrate cultural competence.—0 points
Comments		Place score here
COORDINATED ENTRY AND CASE CONFERENCING		
1, 1a, 2, 3, 4, 4a. Coordinated Entry (CE) and Case Conferencing (CC)	Did the response include the use of the VI-SPDAT and the local coordinated entry process? Was the process used for case conferencing described? Was there a description about how community partners are involved in case conferencing?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CE/CC activity and collaborations were clearly articulated—7 points • CE/CC activities and collaboration was adequate—4 points • CE/CC activities description was incomplete—0 points
Comments		Place score here
MAINTSTREAM RESOURCES		
1a-o. Mainstream Resources	Did the response provide a sufficient overview about how the client/tenant is connected to mainstream resources?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Connection to mainstream resources were clearly articulated—5 points • Description of connection to mainstream resources was adequate—3 points • Mainstream resources connection description was incomplete—0 points
Comments		Place score here
INSURANCE AND Social Security (SSI/SSDI)		
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 INSURANCE SSDI/SOAR	Did the response describe how clients/tenant are connected to insurance and social security (SSI/SSDI) benefits or a SOAR trained individual to apply for benefits?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description articulated clearly how individuals are connected--5 points • Description was adequate—3 points • Description was incomplete—0 points
Comments		Place score here

HOUSING FIRST/LOW BARRIER		
1, 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 1e, 2, and 3 Housing First/Low Barriers	Did the applicant clearly describe how the project would be operated using the Housing First principles and that barriers are addressed and not used to prevent someone from being housed?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description articulated clearly how Housing First and Low Barriers will be implemented--8 points • Description was adequate—4 points • Description was incomplete—0 points
Comments		Place score here
EMPLOYMENT/INCOME		
1 and 2. Employment and Income	Did the response describe how the client/tenant would be connected to employment support and what types of employment support are provided?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description articulated clearly how connections to employment will be implemented--5 points • Description was adequate—3 points • Description was incomplete—0 points
Comments		Place score here
EDUCATION		
1. Education	If applicable, did the response describe how the agency works with homeless school liaisons or help clients/tenants in enrolling in education activities?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description articulated clearly how connections to education will be implemented--5 points • Description was adequate—3 points • Description was incomplete—0 points
Comments		Place score here
PERMANENT HOUSING/MOVE UP STRATEGY		
1. Permanent Housing	Did the response describe how clients/tenants will be supported in remaining or obtaining permanent housing?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description articulated clearly how connections to permanent housing will be implemented--8 points • Description was adequate—4 points • Description was incomplete—0 points
Comments		Place score here
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE/HUMAN TRAFFICKING SURVIVORS		
1. Domestic Violence	Did the response describe how the project will make a connection with DV providers and comply with VAWA?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description articulated clearly how connections to domestic violence programs will be implemented--7 points • Description was adequate—4 points • Description was incomplete—0 points
Comments		Place score here

CONSOLIDATED PLAN		
1. Consolidated Plan	Did the response describe involvement in consolidated plan process at local or state level?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description articulated participation in Consolidated Plan—2 points • Description was incomplete—0 points
Comments		
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE BONUS		
1. Domestic Violence Bonus Projects Only	Did the response adequately describe the agency's experience and strategies for providing victim informed services and evidence based practices?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description articulated agency experience and demonstrated awareness and implementation of victim informed services. – 10 points • Description was adequate—5 points • Description was incomplete—0 points
Comments		Place score here
2. Domestic Violence Bonus Projects Only	Did the response adequately describe the agency's familiarity, use and adherence to the AZ Service Standards for Domestic Violence Service Providers and how those standards will be incorporated into this project?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description articulated clearly how standards will be met --10 points • Description was adequate—5 points • Description was incomplete—0 points
Comments		Place score here
3. Number of persons served		Not Scored
Comments		

Summary Scoring Matrix

Question #	Question	Maximum Points
1 and 1a	Unresolved monitoring findings	2
2 and 3	Local Continuum Involvement	4
4	2019 Point in Time Count	3
5-11	Agency Operations including Financial	8
1, 2 and 3	Project Description	8
4	Unmet Need	8
5	Support and Wraparound Services	5
6, 6a, 7 and 7a	Outreach	5
8, 1a, 1b, 1c	HMIS	4
1	Cultural Competency	5
1, 1a, 2, 3, 4, 4a	Coordinated Entry and Case Conferencing	7
1a-o	Mainstream Resources	5
1, 2, 3,4, 5, 6, 7	Insurance/SSDI/SOAR	5
1, 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 1e, 2, 3	Housing First/Low Barrier	8
1 and 2	Employment and Income	5
1	Education	5
1	Permanent Housing	8
1	Domestic Violence/Trafficking Survivors	7
1	Consolidated Plan	2
		104
	Domestic Violence Bonus	
1	Domestic Violence Bonus Project-victim informed services	10
2	Domestic Violence Bonus Project compliance with AZ Service Standards	10
3	Number of persons served – not scored	
		20