HUD or other BNMK	Item/Attribute	Measurement Scale	Maximum Points	Source of Data	Notes
		HUD scores		Not updated from	
		on inclusion of SPM in scoreat least 20% of total		current APR	
		score-related to SPM. Highest we can go is 90 pointsto			
		meet this with current scoring			
		at least 33% of score based on objective criteria			
	Total % of adults (heads of	PSH	10	APR Q13a1	
	households) at entry with 1 or more	90% or more-10 pts.			
	conditions	70%-89% 8 pts.			
		60%-79%-6 pts.			
	The purpose of this is to document	50-59%-4 pts.			
	that highest acuity and need are	Less than 49%-0 pts			
	being served-that is why it is applied				
	to both PSH and RRH. Comments	RRH			
	were that RRH doesn't require a	40% or more-10 pts.			
	condition—and yet most served in	30%-39% 8 pts.			
	RRH have a condition of some sort	25%-29%-6 pts.			
		20%-24%-4 pts			
		Less than 20%-0 pts			
	Length of time between intake and	No new move ins5 points	6	APR Q22c	
	Housing Move in no more than 90	60% or more of households move in within 90 days-6			
	days for those who move in	points			
		51%-59% move in within 100 days-4 points			
		40%-50% move in within 100 days-2 points			
		39% or less move in within 100 days0 points			

8.26.24 Scoring Matrix

HUD or other	Item/Attribute	Measurement Scale	Maximum	Source of Data	Notes
BNMK			Points		
SPMHUD Data	All adults who had some sort of	PSH	8	APR Q18	
point. Total	earned or other income at start and	40%+ of adults had some sort of income—8 pts			
income 32.2%	annual assessment/exit status	30%-39% of adults had some sort of income—6 pts			
of leavers, 14%		20%-29% of adults had some sort of income-4 pts			
of leavers with	Total number of adults (at annual	10%-19% of adults had some sort of income-2 pts			
	assessment/leavers) divided by total number of adults who had	Less than 10% of adults had some sort of income—0 pts			
	assessment or left)	RRH			
	·	60%+ of adults had some sort of income—8 pts			
		50%-59% of adults had some sort of income—6 pts			
		40%-49% of adults had some sort of income-4 pts			
		30%-39% of adults had some sort of income-2 pts			
		Less than 29% of adults had some sort of income—0 pts			
	Adults in households included	yesadult survivors were served-1pt	1	APR 14A	
	survivors of domestic violence	noadult survivors were not served-0pt			
	# of participants that have at least 1	80%-100%5 pts.	5	APR Q21	
	source of health insurance (includes	70%- 79%%4 pts.			
	stayers)based on children and	60-69%-3 pts			
	adults	50%-59%-2 pts			
		49% or less -0			
	% of households being served that	80%-100%4 pts.	4	On Subrecipient	will ask for total # or referrals
	came from Coordinated Entry	60%- 79%%3 pts.		RFI	accepted and % of those that
	process	50-69%- 2 pts			came through CE/Case
		40%-59%-1 pts			Conferencing
		less than 40% 0			

8.26.24

Scoring Matrix

8.26.24							
HUD or other	Item/Attribute	Measurement Scale	Maximum	Source of Data	Notes		
BNMK			Points				
HUD18.7%	The number of households that did	No households left-8 points	10	APR Q23			
return to	not return to a place not meant for						
homelessness	human habitation during the project	90% -100% of households who left and went to a					
0-24 mo.	year.	permanent or safe bridge/temporary setting.—10 points					
	(Either the household remained in	80% -89% of households who left and went to a					
	the project, or if the household left,	permanent or safe bridge/temporary setting.—8 points					
	they went to a temporary or other	70% -79% of households who left and went to a					
	permanent setting	permanent or safe bridge/temporary setting.—6 points					
		60% -69% of households who left and went to a					
		permanent or safe bridge/temporary setting.—4 points					
		50%-59% of households who left and went to a					
		permanent or safe bridge/temporary setting—2 points					
		49% or leas of households who left and went to a					
		permanent or safe bridge/temporary setting-0 points					
	% of head of households served who	80%-100%10 pts.	10	APR Q26a			
	met the definition of CH—PSH at	79-79%-8 pts					
	entry	60-69%-6 pts					
		50-59%-4pts					
		40-49%-2pts					
		less than 40% -0					
		RRH					
	For RRH—the standard is % of head	20% or more-10 pts.					
	households who met the definition of	I					
	CH at entry	11-14%-6pts					
		5-10%-4 pts		APR Q26a			
		4%-2pts		APR Q14a			
		less than 4% 0					

8.26.24 Scoring Matrix

HUD or other	Item/Attribute	Scoring Matrix Measurement Scale	Maximum	Source of Data	Notes
BNMK	iteni/Attribute	ricasurement State	Points	Source of Data	Notes
95% expended	Utilization of Funds	95%-100% expended-4 pts 85%-94% expended-2 pts 84% or less expended-0 pts	4	Special Needs Specialist	For 2024-attrribute is based on expenditure (RFP submitted) between July 2023 and June 2024) for CoC and HTF funds related to all CoC projects.
75% of funds drawn down by Q3	Timely drawdown of funds	75% of funds drawn down by Q3-2pts 50%-74% of funds drawn down by Q3-1 pt 49% and less of funds drawn down by Q3- 0 pts	2	Special Needs Specialist	
No Errors or late submittal	RFP are submitted within deadlines and are accurate. (Does not include items for discussion) (Incorrect includes errors in math, missing attachments, incorrect forms or other preventable errors)	No errors3 pts 1 or 2 errors2 pts 3 or more errors-0 pts	3	Special Needs Specialist	
98%	HMIS data quality	98%-100%-3 pts 95%-97.99% - 1 pts 94.99% or less-0	3	0252 Report Special Needs Specialist	Based on UDE DQ as reported on agency 2552 report, July 2023-June 2024 0252 report available for A New Leaf and KAAP
Green	Housing First Implementation	Green3 pts Yellow-1 pt Red-0 pt	3	HUD Hsng First Assessment- scored by subrecipient, confirmed by Special Needs Specialist	Assessment is completed as a part of NOFO process

Governance Advisory Board 2024 Renewal Project
8.26.24 Scoring Matrix

HUD or other	Item/Attribute	Measurement Scale	Maximum	Source of Data	Notes
BNMK			Points		
100%	Project served 100% of households	95%-100%-7 pts	7	Special Needs	Based on contract
	based on 2023 CoC contract	94% or less-0 pts		Specialist	requirements
Low Risk	Agency is low risk related to ability to	Low risk-3 pts	3	ASRAT/Special	ASRAT completed by
	manage CoC contracts	Medium risk-1 pt		Needs Specialist	subrecipient and confirmed by
		High risk-0pt			Special Needs Specialist
Code of	Code of Conduct complies with HUD	Code of Conduct complies-2 pts	2	Special Needs	
Conduct	Requirements	Code of Conduct does not comply-0 pts		Specialist	
complies with					
HUD					
High attendance	LCEH and Subrecipient Meetings	LCEH Meetings Attendance	3	LCEH RFI	
	Attendance. Member of project	80% or more-3 pts		response	
	agency staff attends LCEH meetings	60%-79%-2pts			
		59% or less-0 pts			
Equity	Agency demonstrates equity in staff	Policy demonstrates promotion of culture, disparity,	2	Subrecipient RFI	
	composition-and demonstrates by	equity. 1 pt			
	policy that addresses culture,	Individuals with Lived Experience involved in decision			
	disparity, equity. Agency includes	making-1 pt.			
	individuals with lived experience in	No documentation-0 pts			
	decision making.				
			86		