



Arizona Department of Housing (ADOH)
As Collaborative Applicant and United Funding Agency
For
Arizona Balance of State continuum of Care (AZBOSCO)
Review and Ranking of Projects

Renewal Projects

1. Scoring Matrix reviewed by independent Workgroup of the Governance Advisory Board and revised as needed.
2. Matrix consists of the following components:
 - Systems Performance Data taken from project level dashboard.
<https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/crisis.network/viz/HMIS-ProviderPerformanceMonitoringReport-BOS/Story1>
 - Five Self Scored questions related to low barrier housing and participation in Local Continuums/Coalitions to End Homelessness
 - Project Monitoring by ADOH Housing Specialists –include financial , audit and agency governance.
 - Bed/Unit Utilization Rate
 - Head of Households—Conditions at Entry
3. Final Scoring Matrix posted on Arizona Department of Housing Website
4. Matrix is completed for each renewal project by CoC Independent Contractor.
5. Each sub-recipient is sent the scoring for each of their renewal projects for review. Sub-recipients can demonstrate that scores need to be changed by use of APR or comparable database.
6. Each project is scored and ranked.
8. Final ranking is posted in compliance with HUD NOFO requirements.

Bonus Projects

1. Bonus Project Scoring Matrix reviewed by independent Bonus Project Workgroup of the Governance Advisory Board and revised as needed.

2. Bonus Project contains the following criteria:
 - participation in LCEH,
 - financial management,
 - organizational management,
 - connections to community resources including mainstream resources,
 - unmet need the project will address (data to show unmet need),
 - permanent housing strategies,
 - social equity and racial justice strategies,
 - supportive services,
 - use of HMIS or comparable database,
 - implementation of low barrier and housing first tenets.
3. Bonus Project Workgroup members score each bonus project submitted.
4. Each applicant makes presentation to the Bonus Project Workgroup.
5. Bonus Project Workgroup considers final scores from matrix and presentation in final ranking of bonus projects.
6. Bonus Project Workgroup makes final recommendations to the Governance Advisory Board.

The Governance Advisory Board in making final recommendations about ranking renewal and bonus projects in Tier 1 and Tier 2 consider the following information:

- final project scores/numerical ranking
- need
- geographic distribution
- the project's impact on filling housing and service gaps in a particular area of the AZBOSCOG
- type of housing
- the ability of projects to continue to operate if scored in both Tier 1 and Tier 2.

The Governance Advisory Board discusses these considerations and makes the final ranking decision.