Before Starting the CoC Application

The CoC Consolidated Application is made up of two parts: the CoC Application and the CoC Priority Listing, with all of the CoC's project applications either approved and ranked, or rejected. The Collaborative Applicant is responsible for submitting both the CoC Application and the CoC Priority Listing in order for the CoC Consolidated Application to be considered complete.

The Collaborative Applicant is responsible for:
 - Reviewing the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA in its entirety for specific application and program requirements.
 - Using the CoC Application Detailed Instructions while completing the application in e-snaps.
 - Answering all questions in the CoC application. It is the responsibility of the Collaborative Applicant to ensure that all imported and new responses in all parts of the application are fully reviewed and completed. When doing this keep in mind:

 - This year, CoCs will see that a few responses have been imported from the FY 2015 CoC Application.
 - For some of the questions HUD has provided documents to assist Collaborative Applicants in completing responses.
 - For other questions, the Collaborative Applicant must be aware of responses provided by project applications in their Project Applications.
 - Some questions require the Collaborative Applicant to attach a document to receive credit. This will be identified in the question.
 - All questions marked with an asterisk (*) are mandatory and must be completed in order to submit the CoC Application.

  For CoC Application Detailed Instructions click here.

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: AZ-500 - Arizona Balance of State CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Arizona Department of Housing

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Arizona Department of Housing

1B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Engagement

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1B-1. From the list below, select those organizations and persons that participate in CoC meetings.
Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if CoC meeting participants are voting members or if they sit on the CoC Board.
Only select "Not Applicable" if the organization or person does not exist in the CoC's geographic area.

Organization/Person Categories	Participates in CoC Meetings	Votes, including electing CoC Board	Sits on CoC Board
Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	No	No
Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	No
Local Jail(s)	Yes	No	No
Hospital(s)	Yes	No	No
EMT/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	No	No
Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
Affordable Housing Developer(s)	No	No	No
Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Not Applicable	No	Not Applicable
Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	No
CoC Funded Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
Non-CoC Funded Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	No
Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Youth advocates	Yes	Yes	No
Agencies that serve survivors of human trafficking	Not Applicable	No	Not Applicable
Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes

1B-1a. Describe in detail how the CoC solicits and considers the full range of opinions from individuals or organizations with knowledge of homelessness or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness in the geographic area. Please provide two examples of organizations or individuals from the list in 1B-1 to answer this question.

The BOSCOC solicits and considers the full range of opinions from all involved w/knowledge of homelessness thru quarterly regional meetings. The CA facilitates the meetings. There is feedback and discussion about performance measures, data and how to move forward. Many local communities also hold meetings and roll that information upward. Action plan includes Housing First, Transportation, emergency services-w/no closed doors, CE and effective communication. The 2 examples are:1)The Regional Behavioral Health Authority covering 7 of the 13 BOSCOC counties thru attending COC meetings changed its policies and is having all service providers enter data into HMIS. They will prioritize entry for the most vulnerable into behavioral health housing and utilize the COC PSH programs for those who are chronically homeless. 2) Northland Family Help Center receives RHY, is active in the Coconino Coalition, attends BOSCOC regional mtgs and votes on issues and who is on the Governing Advisory Board.

1B-1b. List Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)-funded and other youth homeless assistance providers (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) who operate within the CoC's geographic area.
Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if each provider is a voting member or sits on the CoC Board.

Youth Service Provider (up to 10)	RHY Funded?	Participated as a Voting Member in at least two CoC Meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 20, 2016.	Sat on CoC Board as active member or official at any point between July 1, 2015 and June 20, 2016.
Arizona Youth Partnership	Yes	No	No
Northland Family Help Center	Yes	Yes	No
Child Family and Support Services	No	No	No
Southern AZ Children's Haven Inc.	No	No	No
Teen Outreach	No	No	No
Eagledancer Youth and Family Services	No	No	No
First Things First	No	No	No
Central Arizona College Youth at Work Program	No	No	No

1B-1c. List the victim service providers (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) who operate within the CoC's geographic area.

Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if each provider is a voting member or sits on the CoC Board.

Victim Service Provider for Survivors of Domestic Violence (up to 10)	Participated as a Voting Member in at least two CoC Meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016	Sat on CoC Board as active member or official at any point between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016.
Alice's Place	No	No
Community Action Human Resources Agency	Yes	Yes
Housing Solutions Northern Arizona	Yes	No
CCS-Safe House	No	No
Hope Cottage	No	No
Kingman Aid to Abused People	No	No
Community Alliance Against Family Abuse	No	No
Southern Gila County Safe House	Yes	No
Northland Family Help Center	Yes	No
Verde Valley Sanctuary	No	No

1B-2. Explain how the CoC is open to proposals from entities that have not previously received funds in prior CoC Program competitions, even if the CoC is not applying for new projects in 2016. (limit 1000 characters)

The AZ BOSCOC uses a variety of mechanisms: 1)Announcements about funding availability occurred at regional meetings. 2) Announcements about funding availability occurred at local community meetings. 3) Announcements of funding availability were made through ADOH Housing Bulletins--which are available through the ADOH website and is also sent as an email notice to a broad spectrum of organizations who are interested in broad housing issues or have done business with ADOH. This network is much larger than just the COC.

1B-3. How often does the CoC invite new members to join the CoC through a publicly available invitation?   Monthly

1C. Continuum of Care (CoC) Coordination

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1C-1. Does the CoC coordinate with Federal, State, Local, private and other entities serving homeless individuals and families and those at risk of homelessness in the planning, operation and funding of projects? Only select "Not Applicable" if the funding source does not exist within the CoC's geographic area.

Funding or Program Source	Coordinates with Planning, Operation and Funding of Projects
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
Head Start Program	Yes
Housing and service programs funded through Federal, State and local government resources.	Yes

1C-2. The McKinney-Vento Act, requires CoC's to participate in the Consolidated Plan(s) (Con Plan(s)) for the geographic area served by the CoC. The CoC Program Interim rule at 24 CFR 578.7 (c) (4) requires the CoC to provide information required to complete the Con Plan(s) within the CoC's geographic area, and 24 CFR 91.100(a)(2)(i) and 24 CFR 91.110 (b)(2) requires the State and local Con Plan jurisdiction(s) consult with the CoC. The following chart asks for the information about CoC and Con Plan jurisdiction coordination, as well as CoC and ESG recipient coordination.

CoCs can use the CoCs and Consolidated Plan Jurisdiction Crosswalk to assist in answering this question.

	Number
Number of Con Plan jurisdictions with whom the CoC geography overlaps	7
How many Con Plan jurisdictions did the CoC participate with in their Con Plan development process?	6
How many Con Plan jurisdictions did the CoC provide with Con Plan jurisdiction level PIT data?	6
How many of the Con Plan jurisdictions are also ESG recipients?	1
How many ESG recipients did the CoC participate with to make ESG funding decisions?	1
How many ESG recipients did the CoC consult with in the development of ESG performance standards and evaluation process for ESG funded activities?	1

1C-2a. Based on the responses provided in 1C-2, describe in greater detail how the CoC participates with the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction(s) located in the CoC's geographic area and include the frequency and type of interactions between the CoC and the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction(s). (limit 1000 characters)

AZ-500 has 7 Con Plan jurisdictions (Casa Grande, Douglas, Flagstaff, Prescott, Sierra Vista, Yuma and State of Arizona). The ADOH is the COC Lead and PJ, allowing for daily interaction between Con Plan and COC staff with about 1.5 hours per week of coordination via in-person meetings and emails. All Con Plans include COC strategic plan and goals for ending homelessness. The COC specifically outreached to each jurisdiction via phone calls, and email for the Point in Time count starting in December thru January and communication occurs for the development of the CAPER report and this COC application.

1C-2b. Based on the response in 1C-2, describe how the CoC is working with ESG recipients to determine local ESG funding decisions and how the CoC assists in the development of performance standards and evaluation of outcomes for ESG-funded activities. (limit 1000 characters)

ADOH as the COC Lead and DES as the ESG Recipient have bi-weekly interaction b/w personnel.They are working to develop joint monitoring and establish a committee to write RRH project performance standards. The COC Lead agency is involved in scoring competitive ESG applications and makes funding recommendations based on ESG and COC project performance, HMIS data indicating need, and COC strategic planning. Joint ESG and COC funding planning focuses on reducing the number and duration of homeless episodes experienced by households in the community, and ensuring program outcomes show participants moving to permanent housing quickly--60% of ESG used for RRH since 2012. The COC and ESG actively share HMIS data, and the COC acts as the forum for soliciting community input on ESG planning. The current performance standards for ESG non-entitlement funding were established through consultation processes with all ESG sub-recipients; including sub-recipients within the Balance of State COC.

1C-3. Describe how the CoC coordinates with victim service providers and non-victim service providers (CoC Program funded and non-CoC funded) to ensure that survivors of domestic violence are provided housing and services that provide and maintain safety and security. Responses must address how the service providers ensure and maintain the safety and security of participants and how client choice is upheld. (limit 1000 characters)

There are three sub-recipients in AZBOSCOC that prioritize families who are survivors of domestic violence. CE is utilized to ID these families/individuals and referrals are made to the appropriate agency. All programs serve this population as resources are scarce in most areas of the BOSCOC geographic region. All sub-recipients assist participants in developing safety plans as a part of the

process & safety is taken into consideration when choosing housing. Providers who work w/ survivors ensure that orders of protection are in place, & they are informed about HUD and VAWA act protections. Staff work w/ homeless school liaisons to ensure that children are safe while at school. The AZBOSCOC sub-recipients also work closely with DV service providers to facilitate HH's ability to transition from shelter settings to housing when appropriate. Case conferencing ensures that the HH members have sufficient information and support to ensure their safety through wraparound services.

1C-4. List each of the Public Housing Agencies (PHAs) within the CoC's geographic area. If there are more than 5 PHAs within the CoC's geographic area, list the 5 largest PHAs. For each PHA, provide the percentage of new admissions that were homeless at the time of admission between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016 and indicate whether the PHA has a homeless admissions preference in its Public Housing and/or Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program.

Public Housing Agency Name	% New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program from 7/1/15 to 6/30/16 who were homeless at entry	PHA has General or Limited Homeless Preference
Yuma City Housing Authority	0.00%	No
Flagstaff Housing Authority	0.07%	Yes-HCV
Yuma County Housing Department	0.00%	No
Pinal County Housing Authority	0.01%	Yes-HCV
Housing Authority of Cochise County	0.05%	Yes-HCV

If you select "Yes--Public Housing," "Yes--HCV," or "Yes--Both" for "PHA has general or limited homeless preference," you must attach documentation of the preference from the PHA in order to receive credit.

1C-5. Other than CoC, ESG, Housing Choice Voucher Programs and Public Housing, describe other subsidized or low-income housing opportunities that exist within the CoC that target persons experiencing homelessness.
(limit 1000 characters)

Other subsidized low income housing opportunities include:
•Private faith based--non-government funded shelter and housing.
•HOPWA, which has both TBRA and STRMU
•Housing funded through the Regional Behavioral Health Authorities
•(Cenpatico-southern Arizona and Health Choice Integrated Care—northern Arizona) for enrolled members who have a serious mental illness.
•Nazcare, a peer operated program covers northern AZ that serves homeless Veterans and others in need of housing who have a disability
•SSVF—Four agencies receive SSVF funding covering all but one of the 13 counties in the BOSCOC region. They are the American Red Cross of Southern Arizona, Nation Community Health Partners, Catholic Charities Community Services and Veterans Resources, Inc. Every one received renewals in the recently announced 2017 funding awards for over 5.3 million to

assist veterans and their families.

1C-6. Select the specific strategies implemented by the CoC to ensure that homelessness is not criminalized in the CoC's geographic area. Select all that apply.

Engaged/educated local policymakers:	X
Engaged/educated law enforcement:	X
Implemented communitywide plans:	X
No strategies have been implemented	
Other:(limit 1000 characters)	
Community Education initiatives about homelessness.	X
Community Advocate Group--i.e. the Collective Impact Group in Prescott is working with elected officials to educate about the reasons for homelessness and alternatives to criminalization; Flagstaff using a program called "Better Bucks" in conjunction with local law agencies to assist those on the streets.	X

1D. Continuum of Care (CoC) Discharge Planning

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1D-1. Select the system(s) of care within the CoC's geographic area for which there is a discharge policy in place that is mandated by the State, the CoC, or another entity for the following institutions? Check all that apply.

Foster Care:	X
Health Care:	X
Mental Health Care:	X
Correctional Facilities:	X
None:	

1D-2. Select the system(s) of care within the CoC's geographic area with which the CoC actively coordinates with to ensure institutionalized persons that have resided in each system of care for longer than 90 days are not discharged into homelessness. Check all that apply.

Foster Care:	X
Health Care:	X
Mental Health Care:	X
Correctional Facilities:	X
None:	

1D-2a. If the applicant did not check all boxes in 1D-2, explain why there is no coordination with the institution(s) that were not selected and explain how the CoC plans to coordinate with the institution(s) to ensure persons

discharged are not discharged into homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)

Not applicable

1E. Centralized or Coordinated Assessment (Coordinated Entry)

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

The CoC Program Interim Rule requires CoCs to establish a Centralized or Coordinated Assessment System which HUD refers to as the Coordinated Entry Process. Based on the recent Coordinated Entry Policy Brief, HUD's primary goals for the coordinated entry process are that assistance be allocated as effectively as possible and that it be easily accessible no matter where or how people present for assistance.

1E-1. Explain how the CoC's coordinated entry process is designed to identify, engage, and assist homeless individuals and families that will ensure those who request or need assistance are connected to proper housing and services.
(limit 1000 characters)

AZBOSCOC established an online CE framework utilizing the VI-SPDAT as the triage tool two years ago to accommodate the no wrong door approach. Using CE is required by the COC and is included in contract requirements between ADOH as recipient and the sub-recipient as well as in ESG contracts. The broad requirements include that data in HMIS is shared thru formal agreement, and case conferencing must be established. Prioritization is established by the COC in line with HUD Notice CPD 640-12 and is on the agenda to be updated to the HUD Notice CPD 16-11 at the fall statewide meeting. Increased responsibility is being directed to the local COC's to increase HMIS participation and formalize case conferencing. Two local COC's are examining whether to establish two physical locations for coordinated entry—one for individuals and one for families. CE continues to improve as local regions work on it.

1E-2. CoC Program and ESG Program funded projects are required to participate in the coordinated entry process, but there are many other organizations and individuals who may participate but are not required to do so. From the following list, for each type of organization or individual, select all of the applicable checkboxes that indicate how that organization or individual participates in the CoC's coordinated entry process. If there are other organizations or persons who participate but are not on this list, enter the information in the blank text box, click "Save" at the bottom of the screen, and then select the applicable checkboxes.

Organization/Person Categories	Participates in Ongoing Planning and Evaluation	Makes Referrals to the Coordinated Entry Process	Receives Referrals from the Coordinated Entry Process	Operates Access Point for Coordinated Entry Process	Participates in Case Conferencing	Does not Participate	Does not Exist
Local Government Staff/Officials	X	X	X	X	X		
CDBG/HOME/Entitlement Jurisdiction	X	X	X		X		
Law Enforcement	X	X			X		
Local Jail(s)		X			X		
Hospital(s)	X	X			X		
EMT/Crisis Response Team(s)	X	X					
Mental Health Service Organizations	X	X	X	X	X		
Substance Abuse Service Organizations	X	X	X	X	X		
Affordable Housing Developer(s)						X	
Public Housing Authorities	X	X	X		X		
Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	X	X	X	X	X		
School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	X	X			X		
Non-CoC Funded Victim Service Organizations	X	X	X		X		
Street Outreach Team(s)	X	X		X	X		
Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	X						

1F. Continuum of Care (CoC) Project Review, Ranking, and Selection

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1F-1. For all renewal project applications submitted in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition complete the chart below regarding the CoC's review of the Annual Performance Report(s).

How many renewal project applications were submitted in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition?	21

How many of the renewal project applications are first time renewals for which the first operating year has not expired yet?	0

How many renewal project application APRs were reviewed by the CoC as part of the local CoC competition project review, ranking, and selection process for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition?	21

Percentage of APRs submitted by renewing projects within the CoC that were reviewed by the CoC in the 2016 CoC Competition?	100.00%

1F-2 - In the sections below, check the appropriate box(es) for each selection to indicate how project applications were reviewed and ranked for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition. Written documentation of the CoC's publicly announced Rating and Review procedure must be attached.

Performance outcomes from APR reports/HMIS:	
% permanent housing exit destinations	X
% increases in income	X

Monitoring criteria:	
Utilization rates	X
Drawdown rates	X
Frequency or Amount of Funds Recaptured by HUD	X

Need for specialized population services:	

Youth	
Victims of Domestic Violence	X
Families with Children	X
Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness	X
Veterans	X

None:	

1F-2a. Describe how the CoC considered the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of participants that are, or will be, served by the project applications when determining project application priority.
(limit 1000 characters)

Per the BOSCOC Manual, Contracts executed between ADOH, as the recipient, and the sub-recipient requires the sub-recipient to house those who score as most vulnerable thru CE(use VI-SPDAT)and that priorities are per HUD Notice 14-012. All sub-recipients have been trained in the use of the VI-SPDAT. Verification of the consideration of severity of needs occurs through the ADOH as recipient, monitoring process. In addition each sub-recipient must submit a local application that requires documentation about how needs are prioritized, barriers removed, severity of needs taken into consideration, and how community partners and other community resources are used to meet those needs in addition to the housing offered by the sub-recipient. HMIS data is also analyzed against the application for verification purposes.

1F-3. Describe how the CoC made the local competition review, ranking, and selection criteria publicly available, and identify the public medium(s) used and the date(s) of posting. Evidence of the public posting must be attached.
(limit 750 characters)

The BOSCOC uses the ADOH website to publicly share review, ranking and selection criteria. Information is distributed to a broad range of individuals and organizations who have expressed interest in or has participated in continuum of care activities including training, local, regional, and statewide meetings as well as those who have a broader interest in all ADOH activities and initiatives. An email notifies about the bulletin. Dates of posting include: 7/29/16-local application that included selection criteria; 8/29/16-Project selection for inclusion in the 2016 application; 9/7/16-official ranking of projects; 9/13/16 --Review process for new, renewal, and reallocation. This information was also included in the local application.

1F-4. On what date did the CoC and Collaborative Applicant publicly post all parts of the FY 2016 CoC Consolidated Application that included the final project application ranking? (Written documentation of the public posting, with the date of the posting clearly visible, must be attached. In addition, evidence of communicating decisions to the CoC's full membership must be attached). 09/13/2016

1F-5. Did the CoC use the reallocation process in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition to reduce or reject projects for the creation of new projects? (If the CoC utilized the reallocation process, evidence of the public posting of the reallocation process must be attached.) Yes

1F-5a. If the CoC rejected project application(s), on what date did the CoC and Collaborative Applicant notify those project applicants that their project application was rejected? (If project applications were rejected, a copy of the written notification to each project applicant must be attached.) 08/29/2016

1F-6. In the Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) is the CoC's FY 2016 CoC's FY 2016 Priority Listing equal to or less than the ARD on the final HUD-approved FY2016 GIW? Yes

1G. Continuum of Care (CoC) Addressing Project Capacity

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1G-1. Describe how the CoC monitors the performance of CoC Program recipients.
(limit 1000 characters)

ADOH as grantee and CA conducts an on-site monitoring annually of each grant. There is a review of APRs prior to submittal, a focus on HUD established performance measures, increasing housing stability, participant's eligibility, length of time homeless, destination upon exit, and connection to all benefits. ADOH conducts a desk monitoring monthly upon receipt of Request for Payment for turnover rates, expenditure rate & client income increases (TTP increases). The BOSCOC Governance Advisory Board reviews findings, suggests areas for training & TA at 1/4ly meetings. Report is shared with the BOSCOC membership during quarterly Regional meetings & minutes posted on the website. Client surveys are reviewed to gauge the level of satisfaction from the consumer viewpoint. COC CA engages in continual dialogue w/ sub-recipients regarding issues involved with performance goals. Training, such as SOAR, is identified & COC member agencies are encouraged to make use of available opportunities.

1G-2. Did the Collaborative Applicant include accurately completed and appropriately signed form HUD-2991(s) for all project applications submitted on the CoC Priority Listing?   Yes

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

Intructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2A-1. Does the CoC have a Governance Charter that outlines the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and the HMIS Lead, either within the Charter itself or by reference to a separate document like an MOU/MOA? In all cases, the CoC's Governance Charter must be attached to receive credit, In addition, if applicable, any separate document, like an MOU/MOA, must also be attached to receive credit. Yes

2A-1a. Include the page number where the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and HMIS Lead can be found in the attached document referenced in 2A-1. In addition, in the textbox indicate if the page number applies to the CoC's attached governance charter or attached MOU/MOA. 6,8,9

2A-2. Does the CoC have a HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual? If yes, in order to receive credit the HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual must be attached to the CoC Application. Yes

2A-3. Are there agreements in place that outline roles and responsibilities between the HMIS Lead and the Contributing HMIS Organization (CHOs)? Yes

2A-4. What is the name of the HMIS software ServicePoint

used by the CoC (e.g., ABC Software)?

2A-5. What is the name of the HMIS software vendor (e.g., ABC Systems)?   Bowman Systems

2B. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Funding Sources

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2B-1. Select the HMIS implementation coverage area: Single CoC

* 2B-2. In the charts below, enter the amount of funding from each funding source that contributes to the total HMIS budget for the CoC.

2B-2.1 Funding Type: Federal - HUD

Funding Source	Funding
CoC	$213,140
ESG	$0
CDBG	$0
HOME	$0
HOPWA	$0
Federal - HUD - Total Amount	$213,140

2B-2.2 Funding Type: Other Federal

Funding Source	Funding
Department of Education	$0
Department of Health and Human Services	$2,000
Department of Labor	$0
Department of Agriculture	$0
Department of Veterans Affairs	$14,800
Other Federal	$0
Other Federal - Total Amount	$16,800

2B-2.3 Funding Type: State and Local

Funding Source	Funding

City	$0
County	$0
State	$36,485
State and Local - Total Amount	$36,485

2B-2.4 Funding Type: Private

Funding Source	Funding
Individual	$0
Organization	$0
Private - Total Amount	$0

2B-2.5 Funding Type: Other

Funding Source	Funding
Participation Fees	$0
Other - Total Amount	$0

2B-2.6 Total Budget for Operating Year	$266,425

2C. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Bed Coverage

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2C-1. Enter the date the CoC submitted the 2016 HIC data in HDX, (mm/dd/yyyy):   05/02/2016

2C-2. Per the 2016 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) Indicate the number of beds in the 2016 HIC and in HMIS for each project type within the CoC. If a particular project type does not exist in the CoC then enter "0" for all cells in that project type.

Project Type	Total Beds in 2016 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
Emergency Shelter (ESG) beds	780	129	651	100.00%
Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	
Transitional Housing (TH) beds	278	0	235	84.53%
Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	318	0	318	100.00%
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	532	0	532	100.00%
Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	146	0	146	100.00%

2C-2a. If the bed coverage rate for any project type is below 85 percent, describe how the CoC plans to increase the bed coverage rate for each of these project types in the next 12 months.
(limit 1000 characters)

Not Applicable

2C-3. If any of the project types listed in question 2C-2 above have a coverage rate below 85 percent, and some or all of these rates can be attributed to beds covered by one of the following program types, please indicate that here by selecting all that apply from the list below.

VA Grant per diem (VA GPD):	☐
VASH:	☐

Faith-Based projects/Rescue mission:	X
Youth focused projects:	
Voucher beds (non-permanent housing):	X
HOPWA projects:	
Not Applicable:	

2C-4. How often does the CoC review or assess its HMIS bed coverage?   Semi-Annually

2D. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Data Quality

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2D-1. Indicate the percentage of unduplicated client records with null or missing values and the percentage of "Client Doesn't Know" or "Client Refused" within the last 10 days of January 2016.

Universal Data Element	Percentage Null or Missing	Percentage Client Doesn't Know or Refused
3.1 Name	0%	0%
3.2 Social Security Number	3%	1%
3.3 Date of birth	1%	0%
3.4 Race	2%	0%
3.5 Ethnicity	1%	0%
3.6 Gender	1%	0%
3.7 Veteran status	2%	0%
3.8 Disabling condition	3%	0%
3.9 Residence prior to project entry	2%	0%
3.10 Project Entry Date	0%	0%
3.11 Project Exit Date	0%	0%
3.12 Destination	3%	13%
3.15 Relationship to Head of Household	2%	0%
3.16 Client Location	4%	0%
3.17 Length of time on street, in an emergency shelter, or safe haven	7%	0%

2D-2. Identify which of the following reports your HMIS generates. Select all that apply:

CoC Annual Performance Report (APR):	X
ESG Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER):	X
Annual Homeless Assessment Report (AHAR) table shells:	X

None	
	☐

2D-3. If you submitted the 2016 AHAR, how many AHAR tables (i.e., ES-ind, ES-family, etc) were accepted and used in the last AHAR?   12

2D-4. How frequently does the CoC review data quality in the HMIS?   Monthly

2D-5. Select from the dropdown to indicate if standardized HMIS data quality reports are generated to review data quality at the CoC level, project level, or both.   Both Project and CoC

2D-6. From the following list of federal partner programs, select the ones that are currently using the CoC's HMIS.

VA Supportive Services for Veteran Families (SSVF):	X
VA Grant and Per Diem (GPD):	☐
Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY):	X
Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH):	X
	☐
None:	☐

2D-6a. If any of the Federal partner programs listed in 2D-6 are not currently entering data in the CoC's HMIS and intend to begin entering data in the next 12 months, indicate the Federal partner program and the anticipated start date.
(limit 750 characters)

Not Applicable

2E. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

The data collected during the PIT count is vital for both CoC's and HUD. HUD needs accurate data to understand the context and nature of homelessness throughout the country, and to provide Congress and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) with information regarding services provided, gaps in service, and performance. Accurate, high quality data is vital to inform Congress' funding decisions.

2E-1. Did the CoC approve the final sheltered PIT count methodology for the 2016 sheltered PIT count?
Yes

2E-2. Indicate the date of the most recent sheltered PIT count: (mm/dd/yyyy)
01/26/2016

2E-2a. If the CoC conducted the sheltered PIT count outside of the last 10 days of January 2016, was an exception granted by HUD?
Not Applicable

2E-3. Enter the date the CoC submitted the sheltered PIT count data in HDX: (mm/dd/yyyy)
05/02/2016

2F. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Methods

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2F-1. Indicate the method(s) used to count sheltered homeless persons during the 2016 PIT count:

Complete Census Count:	X
Random sample and extrapolation:	
Non-random sample and extrapolation:	

2F-2. Indicate the methods used to gather and calculate subpopulation data for sheltered homeless persons:

HMIS:	X
HMIS plus extrapolation:	
Interview of sheltered persons:	
Sample of PIT interviews plus extrapolation:	
PIT surveys with provider agencies	X

2F-3. Provide a brief description of your CoC's sheltered PIT count methodology and describe why your CoC selected its sheltered PIT count methodology.
(limit 1000 characters)

The BOSCOC used two methods--data from HMIS and agency level surveys from agencies that do not use HMIS--i.e. domestic violence shelters and small pocket shelters. HMIS is used because it provides the most accurate data for

the sheltered PIT count. The HMIS Lead & system admin work closely with sub recipients to review data and ensure accuracy. Agency level surveys are used in order to gather information from those agencies that don't enter into HMIS in order that the sheltered PIT represents as many programs as feasible. For this year the AZ BOSCOC partnered with the Arizona Department of Economic Security and the Arizona Coalition to End Sexual and Domestic Violence to outreach to DV providers to encourage them to complete the PIT sheltered survey.

2F-4. Describe any change in methodology from your sheltered PIT count in 2015 to 2016, including any change in sampling or extrapolation method, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to the implementation of your sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g., enhanced training or change in partners participating in the PIT count).
(limit 1000 characters)

Not applicable

2F-5. Did your CoC change its provider No
coverage in the 2016 sheltered count?

2F-5a. If "Yes" in 2F-5, then describe the change in provider coverage in the 2016 sheltered count.
(limit 750 characters)

Not Applicable

2G. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Data Quality

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2G-1. Indicate the methods used to ensure the quality of the data collected during the sheltered PIT count:

Training:	X
Follow-up:	X
HMIS:	X
Non-HMIS de-duplication techniques:	X

2G-2. Describe any change to the way your CoC implemented its sheltered PIT count from 2015 to 2016 that would change data quality, including changes to training volunteers and inclusion of any partner agencies in the sheltered PIT count planning and implementation, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to actual sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g. change in sampling or extrapolation methods). (limit 1000 characters)

Not applicable

2H. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

HUD requires CoCs to conduct an unsheltered PIT count every 2 years (biennially) during the last 10 days in January; however, HUD also strongly encourages CoCs to conduct the unsheltered PIT count annually at the same time that they conduct annual sheltered PIT counts. HUD required CoCs to conduct the last biennial PIT count during the last 10 days in January 2015.

2H-1. Did the CoC approve the final unsheltered PIT count methodology for the most recent unsheltered PIT count? Yes

2H-2. Indicate the date of the most recent unsheltered PIT count (mm/dd/yyyy): 01/26/2016

2H-2a. If the CoC conducted the unsheltered PIT count outside of the last 10 days of January 2016, or most recent count, was an exception granted by HUD? Not Applicable

2H-3. Enter the date the CoC submitted the unsheltered PIT count data in HDX (mm/dd/yyyy): 05/02/2016

2I. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Methods

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2I-1. Indicate the methods used to count unsheltered homeless persons during the 2016 or most recent PIT count:

Night of the count - complete census:	☐
Night of the count - known locations:	X
Night of the count - random sample:	☐
Service-based count:	☐
HMIS:	☐
	☐

2I-2. Provide a brief descripton of your CoC's unsheltered PIT count methodology and describe why your CoC selected this unsheltered PIT count methodology.
(limit 1000 characters)

The AZ BOSCOC has a PIT Committee that includes at least one lead from each county. In some cases there are leads for individual communities as well. These leads in concert with local team members recruit volunteers to participate in the count. Volunteers were trained locally as well as through a statewide webinar. Volunteers learned how to conduct the survey and the VI-SPDAT. Volunteers were disbursed to known locations and interviewing took place during the required time of the PIT. Places where people were interviewed include feeding sites, known camping sites and still others in alleys, parks, cars, abandoned buildings etc. A series of data points (initials and birth year) were collected to provide an unique identifier but non-identifying information, to ensure non duplication of effort. All survey data was entered into a database and analyzed. AZBOSCOC uses this methodology due to the vastness of the area in the COC.

2I-3. Describe any change in methodology from your unsheltered PIT count in 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015) to 2016, including any change in sampling or extrapolation method, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to implementation of your sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g., enhanced training or change in partners participating in the count).
(limit 1000 characters)

The AZ BOSCOC partnered with Northern Arizona University Social Sciences Program to refine the interview tool. The revisions improved the ability of volunteers to administer the survey and record accurate responses. In addition the VI-SPDAT was administered at the time of interview with individuals who were veterans or reported conditions that indicated that they might meet the definition of chronically homeless. From this by-name lists were developed and protocol is being established on how to work the list and do case conferencing. Individuals participated in both processes on a volunteer basis.

2I-4. Has the CoC taken extra measures to identify unaccompanied homeless youth in the PIT count?

Yes

2I-4a. If the response in 2I-4 was "no" describe any extra measures that are being taken to identify youth and what the CoC is doing for homeless youth.
(limit 1000 characters)

2J. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Data Quality

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2J-1. Indicate the steps taken by the CoC to ensure the quality of the data collected for the 2016 unsheltered PIT count:

Training:	X
"Blitz" count:	X
Unique identifier:	X
Survey questions:	X
Enumerator observation:	
None:	

2J-2. Describe any change to the way the CoC implemented the unsheltered PIT count from 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015) to 2016 that would affect data quality. This includes changes to training volunteers and inclusion of any partner agencies in the unsheltered PIT count planning and implementation, if applicable. Do not include information on changes in actual methodology (e.g. change in sampling or extrapolation method).
 (limit 1000 characters)

The AZ BOSCOC partnered with Northern Arizona University to refine the survey both in language and in format. This resulted in ease of use by the volunteers and the ability to record responses more accurately. Local leads were identified earlier in the process which allowed additional time for volunteer recruitment training and local planning for deployment on the day of the count. The Governance Committee provided overall input to ensure that the questions being asked were appropriate for our communities. The AZ BOSCOC continued its utilization of a PIT Count subcommittee with regular meetings and emphasis

on ensuring that counts were conducted consistently in each county.

3A. Continuum of Care (CoC) System Performance

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

3A-1. Performance Measure: Number of Persons Homeless - Point-in-Time Count.

* 3A-1a. Change in PIT Counts of Sheltered and Unsheltered Homeless Persons
Using the table below, indicate the number of persons who were homeless at a Point-in-Time (PIT) based on the 2015 and 2016 PIT counts as recorded in the Homelessness Data Exchange (HDX).

	2015 PIT (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016 PIT	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered persons	2,402	2,240	-162
Emergency Shelter Total	851	771	-80
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	246	252	6
Total Sheltered Count	1,097	1,023	-74
Total Unsheltered Count	1,305	1,217	-88

3A-1b. Number of Sheltered Persons Homeless - HMIS.
Using HMIS data, enter the number of homeless persons who were served in a sheltered environment between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015 for each category provided.

	Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	3,911
Emergency Shelter Total	3,406
Safe Haven Total	0
Transitional Housing Total	505

3A-2. Performance Measure: First Time Homeless.

Describe the CoC's efforts to reduce the number of individuals and families who become homeless for the first time. Specifically, describe what the CoC is doing to identify risk factors of becoming homeless.

(limit 1000 characters)

Acute shortage of affordable housing units under responsible management is a primary risk factor for the first-time homeless. Efforts to reduce this homelessness include: 1) Using the no wrong door approach bringing to scale system-wide diversion thru CE, 2) $100K of ADOH monies for prevention awarded August 2016, 3) $900K of ADOH monies for RRH awarded August 2016 4) ESG used for prevention and RRH, 5)reallocation of 2 COC TH to RRH and 6)use of LIHEAP, OOHR, WIC, and Weatherization for prevention. Specific efforts to identify risk factors: BOSCOC engages all providers, works with criminal justice and health systems tracking exit data from jails, hospitals and foster care as early determinates of risk. All prevention partners are active in COC. Employment is also a focus. Training about employment development in rural counties and assisting with obtaining mainstream benefits is measured. SAMHSA SOAR TA is working with the COC to increase the number of SOAR trained specialists.

3A-3. Performance Measure: Length of Time Homeless.

Describe the CoC's efforts to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless. Specifically, describe how your CoC has reduced the average length of time homeless, including how the CoC identifies and houses individuals and families with the longest lengths of time homeless.
(limit 1000 characters)

Specific interventions to reduce LOS & target resources developed in COC population workgroups: (1) Identifying individuals and families thru CE which utilizes the SPDAT, with the longest lengths of time homeless by specifically screening homeless persons for this indicator and making them a priority based on vulnerability, 2) VETS@Home is using a by-name based list (updated weekly using CE) of all veterans who are homeless; (3) Family transitional housing is realigning to shorter, more cost-effective RRH (4) BOSCOC has reduced screening criteria system-wide for quick low barrier entry. More RRH for all populations using ESG, COC, with reallocation of projects to RRH and recently awarded ADOH state funding.

* 3A-4. Performance Measure: Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention.

In the next two questions, CoCs must indicate the success of its projects in placing persons from its projects into permanent housing.

3A-4a. Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations:
Fill in the chart to indicate the extent to which projects exit program participants into permanent housing (subsidized or non-subsidized) or the retention of program participants in CoC Program-funded permanent supportive housing.

	Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015
Universe: Persons in SSO, TH and PH-RRH who exited	1,426
Of the persons in the Universe above, how many of those exited to permanent destinations?	1,144
% Successful Exits	80.22%

3A-4b. Exit To or Retention Of Permanent Housing:
In the chart below, CoCs must indicate the number of persons who exited from any CoC funded permanent housing project, except rapid re-housing projects, to permanent housing destinations or retained their permanent housing between October 1, 2014 and September 31, 2015.

	Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH	186
Of the persons in the Universe above, indicate how many of those remained in applicable PH projects and how many of those exited to permanent destinations?	119
% Successful Retentions/Exits	63.98%

3A-5. Performance Measure: Returns to Homelessness: Describe the CoCs efforts to reduce the rate of individuals and families who return to homelessness. Specifically, describe strategies your CoC has implemented to identify and minimize returns to homelessness, and demonstrate the use of HMIS or a comparable database to monitor and record returns to homelessness.
(limit 1000 characters)

In 2014, 8% going from homeless to permanently housed returned to homelessness within two years. Strategies/tools to improve performance and minimize returns include: 1) Using HMIS data to identify patterns of performance among providers & target TA; 2) right-sizing resources shifting 16 units of TH to PSH and RRH in 2016; 3) Monitoring via HMIS to identify who is returning to homelessness and target assertive outreach/diversion. As of April 1, 2016 data is now being shared within the HMIS system, so all COC and ESG agencies can immediately find out if a new resident has a prior history in an HMIS-reporting program within the BOSCOC system and therefore better target outreach and case mgmt.

3A-6. Performance Measure: Job and Income Growth.
Performance Measure: Job and Income Growth. Describe the CoC's specific strategies to assist CoC Program-funded projects to increase program participants' cash income from employment and non-employment non-cash sources.
(limit 1000 characters)

Increasing income is essential to COC efforts to make homelessness brief and one time. For many employment is not an option. The AZ SOAR (SSI and SSDI Outreach, Access and Recovery) program to expedite the Social Security

disability benefits pplication/approval process is key. On-line Soar Training is regularly encouraged: meetings, newsletters and websites. Receiving SOAR TA from SAMHSA has increased BOS SOAR trained staff from 15 to 24 and the CA (ADOH) has recently trained staff to be the BOSCOC Team Lead working with the local leads to increase the number of those who are eligible receiving benefits. ALL project participants are linked with TANF or Public Assistance resources. For those seeking paid employment, resources used to connect participants are: DES Workforce Development, job fairs, voc rehab, Dept. of Veteran Services, and employment support from Goodwill of Northern Arizona who is very active in connecting all people with jobs as well as support from case managers .

3A-6a. Describe how the CoC is working with mainstream employment organizations to aid homeless individuals and families in increasing their income.
(limit 1000 characters)

The AZ BOSCOC sub-recipients have established relationships with employment organizations. These may vary based on community but generally include Goodwill, State Job Services, State Voc Rehab, employment and support from behavioral health agencies. Either the sub-recipient or other case management providers also provide direct support through job retention skill development, interviewing skills, clothing and tools banks, transportation support, and other activities to support the participant's employment search and retention.

3A-7. What was the the criteria and decision-making process the CoC used to identify and exclude specific geographic areas from the CoC's unsheltered PIT count?
(limit 1000 characters)

Specific geographic areas are excluded due to accessibility. The vast majority of the AZBOSCOC is rugged wilderness areas including mountains, forests, and deserts. These areas are not included due to limited volunteers and safety concerns. Concentration of limited volunteer resources are better utilized surveying at known locations where those who are homeless gather, which does include areas outside of city/town limits, but not all campgrounds.

3A-7a. Did the CoC completely exclude geographic areas from the the most recent PIT count (i.e., no one counted there and, for communities using samples the area was excluded from both the sample and extrapolation) where the CoC determined that there were no unsheltered homeless people, including areas that are uninhabitable (e.g. disasters)?   Yes

3A-7b. Did the CoC completely exclude geographic areas from the the most recent PIT count (i.e., no one counted there and, for communities using samples the area was excluded from both the sample and extrapolation) where the CoC determined that there were no unsheltered homeless people, including areas that are uninhabitable (e.g. deserts, wilderness, etc.)?
(limit 1000 characters)

Specific geographic areas are excluded due to accessibility. The vast majority of the AZBOSCOC is rugged wilderness areas including mountains, forests, and deserts. These areas are not included due to limited volunteers and ensuring their safety, as well as for the most part, individuals who are homeless gather at known locations. Concentration of limited volunteer resources in those areas provides for more coverage during the count.

3A-8. Enter the date the CoC submitted the system performance measure data into HDX. The System Performance Report generated by HDX must be attached. (mm/dd/yyyy)   08/11/2016

3A-8a. If the CoC was unable to submit their System Performance Measures data to HUD via the HDX by the deadline, explain why and describe what specific steps they are taking to ensure they meet the next HDX submission deadline for System Performance Measures data. (limit 1500 characters)

Not applicable

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

Objective 1: Ending Chronic Homelessness

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

To end chronic homelessness by 2017, HUD encourages three areas of focus through the implementation of Notice CPD 14-012: Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness in Permanent Supportive Housing and Recordkeeping Requirements for Documenting Chronic Homeless Status.

 1. Targeting persons with the highest needs and longest histories of homelessness for existing and new permanent supportive housing;
2. Prioritizing chronically homeless individuals, youth and families who have the longest histories of homelessness; and
 3. The highest needs for new and turnover units.

3B-1.1. Compare the total number of chronically homeless persons, which includes persons in families, in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).

		2015 (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered chronically homeless persons		542	437	-105
Sheltered Count of chronically homeless persons		20	43	23
Unsheltered Count of chronically homeless persons		522	394	-128

3B-1.1a. Using the "Differences" calculated in question 3B-1.1 above, explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the overall TOTAL number of chronically homeless persons in the CoC, as well as the change in the unsheltered count, as reported in the PIT count in 2016 compared to 2015.
(limit 1000 characters)

The AZ BOSCOC implemented policies and procedures which formalized the process of making households that are chronically homeless the top priority for housing in available vacancies. This priority process is defined in the contract requirements between ADOH as recipient and the sub-recipient, through the use of the VI-SPDAT as the triage tool for CE that helps to identify housing needs and through case conferencing to facilitate households that are chronically homeless to connect to housing and community resources that they need. As a result of these actions, the sheltered/unsheltered count was reduced by 105 (19.3%) and the unsheltered count by 128 (24.5%)

3B-1.2. Compare the total number of PSH beds (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) that were identified as dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons on the 2016 Housing Inventory Count, as compared to those identified on the 2015 Housing Inventory Count.

	2015	2016	Difference
Number of CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded PSH beds dedicated for use by chronically homelessness persons identified on the HIC.	106	108	2

3B-1.2a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of PSH beds (CoC program funded or non-CoC Program funded) that were identified as dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons on the 2016 Housing Inventory Count compared to those identified on the 2015 Housing Inventory Count.
(limit 1000 characters)

From 2015 to 2016 there was a slight increase in PSH beds dedicated to chronically homeless persons. The AZBOSCOC did not receive a bonus project in 2015. Even though the number of dedicated beds did not change, by policy, all vacant PSH beds are prioritized to serve chronically homeless households if there is an appropriate household in need of housing.

3B-1.3. Did the CoC adopt the Orders of Priority into their standards for all CoC Program funded PSH as described in Notice CPD-14-012: Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness in Permanent Supportive Housing and Recordkeeping Requirements for Documenting Chronic Homeless Status?

Yes

3B-1.3a. If "Yes" was selected for question 3B-1.3, attach a copy of the CoC's written standards or other evidence that clearly shows the incorporation of the Orders of Priority in Notice CPD 14-012 and indicate the page(s) for all documents where the

19

Orders of Priority are found.

3B-1.4. Is the CoC on track to meet the goal   Yes
   of ending chronic homelessness by 2017?

This question will not be scored.

3B-1.4a. If the response to question 3B-1.4 was "Yes" what are the strategies that have been implemented by the CoC to maximize current resources to meet this goal? If "No" was selected, what resources or technical assistance will be implemented by the CoC to reach to goal of ending chronically homelessness by 2017?
(limit 1000 characters)

The AZBOSCOC has implemented the following strategies:
1. By contract all sub-recipients must prioritize chronically homeless households when vacancies occur per HUD Notice CPD 14-012.
2. All sub-recipients and other community agencies are now using the VI-SPDAT to identify households with the highest housing needs. This combined with case conferencing at the local community level is providing for a structured process by which chronically homeless households are identified and offered housing.
3. All sub-recipients by contract are required to implement Housing First which provides chronically homeless households the opportunity to choose to be housed without the demand of participation in other services. Through case conferencing, once the household chooses a housing unit, further engagement takes place to offer the household services and facilitate their access to those services as needed.

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Strategic Planning Objectives

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Strategic Planning Objectives

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

HUD will evaluate CoC's based on the extent to which they are making progress to achieve the goal of ending homelessness among households with children by 2020.

3B-2.1. What factors will the CoC use to prioritize households with children during the FY2016 Operating year? (Check all that apply).

Vulnerability to victimization:	X
Number of previous homeless episodes:	X
Unsheltered homelessness:	X
Criminal History:	X
Bad credit or rental history (including not having been a leaseholder):	X
Head of household has mental/physical disabilities:	X
N/A:	

3B-2.2. Describe the CoC's strategies including concrete steps to rapidly rehouse every household with children within 30 days of those families becoming homeless.
(limit 1000 characters)

BOSCOC taking the following action to achieve housing placement for families within 30 days:
•Increasing RRH capacity for families by implementing a new $1 million RRH program with ADOH state funds awarded through 4 contracts in August 2016
•Expedite rehousing at the shelter level.
•Expanding housing locator services through Landlord Engagement training across the CoC.
•Connecting services to CE thru expanded diversion/RRH both state and ESG funded programs.
•Utilizing lessons learned from the Veteran System to include 'take down targets' for all populations in the BOSCOC.
•Families are supported with utility deposits, household items and furniture, access to food, all to assist with settling into housing.
•Employment support and other resources are provided to help families stabilize and not slip back into homelessness.
•One TH reallocated to RRH in the 2016 COC Application

3B-2.3. Compare the number of RRH units available to serve families from the 2015 and 2016 HIC.

	2015	2016	Difference
RRH units available to serve families in the HIC:	36	72	36

3B-2.4. How does the CoC ensure that emergency shelters, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) providers within the CoC do not deny admission to or separate any family members from other members of their family based on age, sex, gender or disability when entering shelter or housing? (check all strategies that apply)

CoC policies and procedures prohibit involuntary family separation:	X
There is a method for clients to alert CoC when involuntarily separated:	X
CoC holds trainings on preventing involuntary family separation, at least once a year:	X
ADOH and ADES (the ESG partner) monitor ESG contracts to ensure family members are not separated.	X
None:	

3B-2.5. Compare the total number of homeless households with children in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015

(or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).

PIT Count of Homelessness Among Households With Children

	2015 (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless households with children:	202	154	-48
Sheltered Count of homeless households with children:	143	117	-26
Unsheltered Count of homeless households with children:	59	37	-22

3B-2.5a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of homeless households with children in the CoC as reported in the 2016 PIT count compared to the 2015 PIT count. (limit 1000 characters)

Not applicable--Both sheltered and unsheltered homeless households decreased from 2015 to 2016.

3B-2.6. From the list below select the strategies to the CoC uses to address the unique needs of unaccompanied homeless youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24, including the following.

Human trafficking and other forms of exploitation?	Yes
LGBTQ youth homelessness?	Yes
Exits from foster care into homelessness?	Yes
Family reunification and community engagement?	Yes
Positive Youth Development, Trauma Informed Care, and the use of Risk and Protective Factors in assessing youth housing and service needs?	Yes
Unaccompanied minors/youth below the age of 18?	Yes

3B-2.6a. Select all strategies that the CoC uses to address homeless youth trafficking and other forms of exploitation.

Diversion from institutions and decriminalization of youth actions that stem from being trafficked:	X
Increase housing and service options for youth fleeing or attempting to flee trafficking:	X
Specific sampling methodology for enumerating and characterizing local youth trafficking:	
Cross systems strategies to quickly identify and prevent occurrences of youth trafficking:	

Community awareness training concerning youth trafficking:	X
N/A:	

3B-2.7. What factors will the CoC use to prioritize unaccompanied youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24 for housing and services during the FY 2016 operating year? (Check all that apply)

Vulnerability to victimization:	X
Length of time homeless:	X
Unsheltered homelessness:	X
Lack of access to family and community support networks:	X
N/A:	

3B-2.8. Using HMIS, compare all unaccompanied youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24 served in any HMIS contributing program who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry in FY 2014 (October 1, 2013-September 30, 2014) and FY 2015 (October 1, 2014 - September 30, 2015).

	FY 2014 (October 1, 2013 - September 30, 2014)	FY 2015 (October 1, 2014 - September 30, 2105)	Difference
Total number of unaccompanied youth served in HMIS contributing programs who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry:	88	236	148

3B-2.8a. If the number of unaccompanied youth and children, and youth-headed households with children served in any HMIS contributing program who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry in FY 2015 is lower than FY 2014 explain why.
(limit 1000 characters)

Not applicable--the number of unaccompanied youth and children increased

from FY 2014 to FY 2015 as the two RHY programs that were funded were implemented, entering data into HMIS and providing services.

3B-2.9. Compare funding for youth homelessness in the CoC's geographic area in CY 2016 and CY 2017.

	Calendar Year 2016	Calendar Year 2017	Difference
Overall funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded):	$834,837.00	$809,837.00	($25,000.00)
CoC Program funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects:	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Non-CoC funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects (e.g. RHY or other Federal, State and Local funding):	$834,837.00	$809,837.00	($25,000.00)

3B-2.10. To what extent have youth services and educational representatives, and CoC representatives participated in each other's meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016?

Cross-Participation in Meetings	# Times
CoC meetings or planning events attended by LEA or SEA representatives:	9
LEA or SEA meetings or planning events (e.g. those about child welfare, juvenile justice or out of school time) attended by CoC representatives:	4
CoC meetings or planning events attended by youth housing and service providers (e.g. RHY providers):	24

3B-2.10a. Based on the responses in 3B-2.10, describe in detail how the CoC collaborates with the McKinney-Vento local educational authorities and school districts.
(limit 1000 characters)

All COC funded agencies know the liaison in each school district covered by their programs and communication b/w them is very good. In addition, each sub recipient who serves families has a staff person that coordinates with school districts. COC and community youth providers all use the resources made available by AZDOE to identify, serve and refer homeless youth including trainings, webinars, and annual workshops, and free posters and brochures in multiple languages with information about the rights of students in temporary housing. The BOSCOC has two agencies with RHY grants serving only a portion of the geographic BOS regions but they are active in the BOSCOC and the PIT assisting with identifying those youth experiencing homelessness. The State Coordinator for Homeless Education presents once a year at a round of regional meetings so FTF Q&A between AZDOE & BOSCOC occurs. and holds an annual Liaison conference in conjunction with the AZCEH state conference.

3B-2.11. How does the CoC make sure that homeless individuals and families who become homeless are informed of their eligibility for and receive access to educational services? Include the policies and procedures that homeless service providers (CoC and ESG Programs) are required to follow.
(limit 2000 characters)

 The BOSCOC follows the ESG guidelines on connecting families to all mainstream resources including educational services. Sub recipient staff, youth serving organizations and all homeless programs in the individual communities coordinate activities to ensure that participants are provided referrals to the COC and ESG programs and know who the liaison is in their child's school. The policies and procedures state that contractors must "assist all participants in obtaining mainstream services and benefits, including at least, but not limited to housing, health care, social services, employment, and education." Youth providers meet with juvenile justice, foster advocacy groups, and education representatives to identify participants who are eligible for COC or ESG programs. The BOSCOC works to integrate the youth and educational partners into the COC as a whole through committee membership and the connection through the AZ Coalition to End Homelessness.

3B-2.12. Does the CoC or any HUD-funded projects within the CoC have any written agreements with a program that services infants, toddlers, and youth children, such as Head Start; Child Care and Development Fund; Healthy Start; Maternal, Infant, Early Childhood Home Visiting programs; Public Pre-K; and others?
 (limit 1000 characters)

The involvement of the programs with local COC's varies by county. The CA on behalf of the COC has written agreements with two agencies that administer Head Start and early childhood home visiting programs: WACOG and CAHRA.

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

Objective 3: Ending Veterans Homelessness

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

Opening Doors outlines the goal of ending Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016. The following questions focus on the various strategies that will aid communities in meeting this goal.

3B-3.1. Compare the total number of homeless Veterans in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).

	2015 (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016	Difference
Universe: Total PIT count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless veterans:	516	305	-211
Sheltered count of homeless veterans:	107	112	5
Unsheltered count of homeless veterans:	409	193	-216

3B-3.1a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of homeless veterans in the CoC as reported in the 2016 PIT count compared to the 2015 PIT count. (limit 1000 characters)

The sheltered count of homeless veterans went up by 5. With such a small number, this could be impacted by a slight delay in access of housing through the COC, VASH or SSVF. The unsheltered count went down by 216 which was a result of an increase of VASH vouchers becoming available in BOSCOC and SSVF continuing their excellent work which results in more Households being assisted.

3B-3.2. Describe how the CoC identifies, assesses, and refers homeless veterans who are eligible for Veterean's Affairs services and housing to appropriate reources such as HUD-VASH and SSVF.

(limit 1000 characters)

As part of the integrated CE system, utilizing HMIS, all homeless veterans receive a VI-SPDAT assessment and are prioritized for housing: VASH, SSVF or if ineligible, thru COC or state funded housing programs. The state funded RRH program has prioritized those Veterans ineligible for VASH, SSVF or COC. The COC is a recipient of VETS @ Home TA working with the Veterans Committee made up of SSVF, VA, and other COC veteran serving agency staff. There are written CE Procedures for conducting & managing assessments, the by-name list, case conferencing meetings, matching process and established priorities. The by name list came from the January 2016 PIT. Through CE, the COC in conjunction with PATH providers ensure that all outreach teams work daily to ID and refer vets to VA funded programs. All veterans are assessed for eligibility for VA services & enrolled accordingly. The BOSCOC will use by name list to track status & know actively homeless numbers, & monthly housing placements.

3B-3.3. Compare the total number of homeless Veterans in the CoC and the total number of unsheltered homeless Veterans in the CoC, as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT Count compared to the 2010 PIT Count (or 2009 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2010).

	2010 (or 2009 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2010)	2016	% Difference
Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless veterans:	102	305	199.02%
Unsheltered Count of homeless veterans:	117	193	64.96%

3B-3.4. Indicate from the dropdown whether you are on target to end Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016.

No

This question will not be scored.

3B-3.4a. If "Yes", what are the strategies being used to maximize your current resources to meet this goal? If "No" what resources or technical assistance would help you reach the goal of ending Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016?
(limit 1000 characters)

THE COC is faced with high cost housing and low rental market vacancy rates, especially in Yavapai County, where the NAVAHC is located. The ability to obtain new VASH vouchers for some counties is hampered by lack of PHA's to administer the program. A mandate from the VA rather than mere encouragement, for data entry into HMIS on those accessing VASH would be most helpful. Current strategies to increase housing access to end veteran homelessness include: continue TA from VETS@Home, implementing landlord

campaign to increase access to rental units and using the by name list to track status in real time & know actively homeless numbers, along with monthly housing placements.

4A. Accessing Mainstream Benefits

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

4A-1. Does the CoC systematically provide information to provider staff about mainstream benefits, including up-to-date resources on eligibility and program changes that can affect homeless clients?   Yes

4A-2. Based on the CoC's FY 2016 new and renewal project applications, what percentage of projects have demonstrated they are assisting project participants to obtain mainstream benefits? This includes all of the following within each project: transportation assistance, use of a single application, annual follow-ups with participants, and SOAR-trained staff technical assistance to obtain SSI/SSDI?

FY 2016 Assistance with Mainstream Benefits

Total number of project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):	21
Total number of renewal and new project applications that demonstrate assistance to project participants to obtain mainstream benefits (i.e. In a Renewal Project Application, "Yes" is selected for Questions 2a, 2b and 2c on Screen 4A. In a New Project Application, "Yes" is selected for Questions 5a, 5b, 5c, 6, and 6a on Screen 4A).	21
Percentage of renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that have demonstrated assistance to project participants to obtain mainstream benefits:	100%

4A-3. List the organizations (public, private, non-profit and other) that you collaborate with to facilitate health insurance enrollment, (e.g., Medicaid, Medicare, Affordable Care Act options) for program participants. For each organization you partner with, detail the specific outcomes resulting from the partnership in the establishment of benefits.
(limit 1000 characters)

Arizona has a single application form for a variety of mainstream resources including health insurance. Health-e-Arizona PLUS is promoted throughout Arizona with all the community action agencies and COG's along with other not for profit services providers educating the community about this resource and assisting them to use it. Since it's online it's available 24/7, is safe and secure and takes only an hour to apply. Our sub-recipients have the ability to assist with completion of this application on line or assist the participant in going to a local DES office (the state social services agency) to complete the application.

Data has just started being tracked within the COC to be able to detail the specific outcomes with regard to those who are homeless signing up.

4A-4. What are the primary ways the CoC ensures that program participants with health insurance are able to effectively utilize the healthcare benefits available to them?

Educational materials:	X
In-Person Trainings:	X
Transportation to medical appointments:	X
Not Applicable or None:	

4B. Additional Policies

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

4B-1. Based on the CoCs FY 2016 new and renewal project applications, what percentage of Permanent Housing (PSH and RRH), Transitional Housing (TH), and SSO (non-Coordinated Entry) projects in the CoC are low barrier?

FY 2016 Low Barrier Designation

Total number of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):	100
Total number of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO renewal and new project applications that selected "low barrier" in the FY 2016 competition:	100
Percentage of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that will be designated as "low barrier":	100%

4B-2. What percentage of CoC Program-funded Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Re-Housing (RRH), SSO (non-Coordinated Entry) and Transitional Housing (TH) FY 2016 Projects have adopted a Housing First approach, meaning that the project quickly houses clients without preconditions or service participation requirements?

FY 2016 Projects Housing First Designation

Total number of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):	24
Total number of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH renewal and new project applications that selected Housing First in the FY 2016 competition:	24
Percentage of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that will be designated as Housing First:	100%

4B-3. What has the CoC done to ensure awareness of and access to housing and supportive services within the CoC's geographic area to persons that could benefit from CoC-funded programs but are not currently participating in a CoC funded program? In particular, how does the CoC reach out to for persons that are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach?

Direct outreach and marketing:	X

Use of phone or internet-based services like 211:	X
Marketing in languages commonly spoken in the community:	X
Making physical and virtual locations accessible to those with disabilities:	X
Not applicable:	

4B-4. Compare the number of RRH units available to serve populations from the 2015 and 2016 HIC.

	2015	2016	Difference
RRH units available to serve all populations in the HIC:	36	72	36

4B-5. Are any new proposed project applications requesting $200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction? No

4B-6. If "Yes" in Questions 4B-5, then describe the activities that the project(s) will undertake to ensure that employment, training and other economic opportunities are directed to low or very low income persons to comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u) (Section 3) and HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 135?
(limit 1000 characters)

Not applicable

4B-7. Is the CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO or TH projects to serve families with children and youth defined as homeless under other Federal statutes? No

4B-7a. If "Yes", to question 4B-7, describe how the use of grant funds to serve such persons is of equal or greater priority than serving persons

defined as homeless in accordance with 24 CFR 578.89. Description must include whether or not this is listed as a priority in the Consolidated Plan(s) and its CoC strategic plan goals. CoCs must attach the list of projects that would be serving this population (up to 10 percent of CoC total award) and the applicable portions of the Consolidated Plan. (limit 2500 characters)

Not applicable

4B-8. Has the project been affected by a major disaster, as declared by the President Obama under Title IV of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistant Act, as amended (Public Law 93-288) in the 12 months prior to the opening of the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition?   No

4B-8a. If "Yes" in Question 4B-8, describe the impact of the natural disaster on specific projects in the CoC and how this affected the CoC's ability to address homelessness and provide the necessary reporting to HUD. (limit 1500 characters)

Not Applicable

4B-9. Did the CoC or any of its CoC program recipients/subrecipients request technical assistance from HUD since the submission of the FY 2015 application? This response does not affect the scoring of this application.   Yes

4B-9a. If "Yes" to Question 4B-9, check the box(es) for which technical assistance was requested.

This response does not affect the scoring of this application.

CoC Governance:	X
CoC Systems Performance Measurement:	X
Coordinated Entry:	X
Data reporting and data analysis:	
HMIS:	X

Homeless subpopulations targeted by Opening Doors: veterans, chronic, children and families, and unaccompanied youth:	X
Maximizing the use of mainstream resources:	
Retooling transitional housing:	
Rapid re-housing:	
Under-performing program recipient, subrecipient or project:	
Not applicable:	

4B-9b. Indicate the type(s) of Technical Aassistance that was provided, using the categories listed in 4B-9a, provide the month and year the CoC Program recipient or sub-recipient received the assistance and the value of the Technical Assistance to the CoC/recipient/sub recipient involved given the local conditions at the time, with 5 being the highest value and a 1 indicating no value.

Type of Technical Assistance Received	Date Received	Rate the Value of the Technical Assistance
Vet's @ Home	02/25/2016	5
HMIS	04/30/2015	5
SAMHSA SOAR TA	05/11/2016	5

4C. Attachments

Instructions:

Multiple files may be attached as a single .zip file. For instructions on how to use .zip files, a reference document is available on the e-snaps training site: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/3118/creating-a-zip-file-and-capturing-a-screenshot-resource

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
01. 2016 CoC Consolidated Application: Evidence of the CoC's communication to rejected participants	Yes	COC Communication...	09/07/2016
02. 2016 CoC Consolidated Application: Public Posting Evidence	Yes	AZ BOSCOC AZ 500 ...	09/13/2016
03. CoC Rating and Review Procedure (e.g. RFP)	Yes	AZ BOSCOC AZ 500 ...	09/13/2016
04. CoC's Rating and Review Procedure: Public Posting Evidence	Yes	AZ BOSCOC AZ 500 ...	09/13/2016
05. CoCs Process for Reallocating	Yes	AZ BOSCOC AZ 500 ...	09/13/2016
06. CoC's Governance Charter	Yes	AZBOSCOC Governan...	09/05/2016
07. HMIS Policy and Procedures Manual	Yes	HMIS Policies and...	09/13/2016
08. Applicable Sections of Con Plan to Serving Persons Defined as Homeless Under Other Fed Statutes	No		
09. PHA Administration Plan (Applicable Section(s) Only)	Yes	AZ BOSCOC AZ 500 ...	09/13/2016
10. CoC-HMIS MOU (if referenced in the CoC's Goverance Charter)	No		
11. CoC Written Standards for Order of Priority	No	ADOH Housing Manual	09/07/2016
12. Project List to Serve Persons Defined as Homeless under Other Federal Statutes (if applicable)	No		
13. HDX-system Performance Measures	Yes	AZ BOSCOC System ...	09/07/2016
14. Other	No		
15. Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description:　COC Communication about rejected applications

Attachment Details

Document Description:　AZ BOSCOC AZ 500 Consolidated Application Posting

Attachment Details

Document Description:　AZ BOSCOC AZ 500 Rating and Review Documentation

Attachment Details

Document Description:　AZ BOSCOC AZ 500 Rating and Review Documentation

Attachment Details

Document Description:　AZ BOSCOC AZ 500 Process for Reallocation in Rating and Review

Attachment Details

Document Description: AZBOSCOC Governance Charter

Attachment Details

Document Description: HMIS Policies and Procedures

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: AZ BOSCOC AZ 500 PHA Admin Plan Preferences

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: ADOH Housing Manual

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: AZ BOSCOC System Performance Measures

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. Identification	08/11/2016
1B. CoC Engagement	09/12/2016
1C. Coordination	09/13/2016

1D. CoC Discharge Planning	09/05/2016
1E. Coordinated Assessment	09/13/2016
1F. Project Review	09/13/2016
1G. Addressing Project Capacity	09/05/2016
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/06/2016
2B. HMIS Funding Sources	09/13/2016
2C. HMIS Beds	09/07/2016
2D. HMIS Data Quality	09/05/2016
2E. Sheltered PIT	09/06/2016
2F. Sheltered Data - Methods	09/08/2016
2G. Sheltered Data - Quality	09/13/2016
2H. Unsheltered PIT	09/06/2016
2I. Unsheltered Data - Methods	09/13/2016
2J. Unsheltered Data - Quality	09/05/2016
3A. System Performance	09/13/2016
3B. Objective 1	09/13/2016
3B. Objective 2	09/13/2016
3B. Objective 3	09/13/2016
4A. Benefits	09/13/2016
4B. Additional Policies	09/13/2016
4C. Attachments	09/13/2016
Submission Summary	No Input Required

Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The FY 2016 CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition deadline as required by the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA.

The FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing includes the following:
 - Reallocation forms – must be fully completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new permanent housing – permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing, new HMIS, or new SSO specifically for Coordinated Entry projects.
 - New Project Listing – lists all new project applications created through reallocation and the permanent housing bonus that have been approved and ranked or rejected by the CoC.
 - Renewal Project Listing – lists all eligible renewal project applications that have been approved and ranked or rejected by the CoC.
 - UFA Costs Project Listing – applicable and only visible for Collaborative Applicants that were designated as a Unified Funding Agency (UFA) during the FY 2016 CoC Program Registration process. Only 1 UFA Costs project application is permitted and can only be submitted by the Collaborative Applicant.
 - CoC Planning Project Listing – Only 1 CoC planning project is permitted per CoC and can only be submitted by the Collaborative Applicant.
 - Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW) – Collaborative Applicants must attach the final HUD-approved GIW.
  - HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

  Things to Remember:
 - All new and renewal projects must be approved and ranked or rejected on the Project Listings.
 - Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications are accurately appearing on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
 - Collaborative Applicants are strongly encouraged to list all project applications on the FY 2016 CoC Ranking Tool located on the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition: Funding Availability page on the HUD Exchange as this will greatly simplify and assist Collaborative Applicants while ranking projects in e-snaps by ensuring no rank numbers or duplicated and that all rank numbers are consecutive (e.g., no missing rank numbers).
 - If a project application(s) is rejected by the CoC, the Collaborative Applicant must notify the affected project applicant(s) no later than 15 days before the CoC Program Competition application deadline outside of e-snaps and include the reason for rejection.
 - For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
 - If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason after ranking has been completed, the ranking of other projects will not be affected: however, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND re-rank the project application BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

 Additional training resources are available online on the CoC Training page of the HUD Exchange at: https://www.hudexchange.info/e-snaps/guides/coc-program-competition-resources/

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

The fields on this screen are read only and reference the information entered during the CoC Registration process. Updates cannot be made at this time. If the information on this screen is not correct, contact the HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/ask-a-question/.

Collaborative Applicant Name: Arizona Department of Housing

2. Reallocation

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/.

2-1. Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in calendar year 2017 into one or more new projects?
Yes

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs that are reallocating eligible renewal project funds to create a new project application – as detailed in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating eligible renewal projects entirely must identify those projects on this form.

Amount Available for New Project: (Sum of All Eliminated Projects)				
$346,365				
Eliminated Project Name	**Grant Number Eliminated**	**Component Type**	**Annual Renewal Amount**	**Type of Reallocation**
New Start Housing...	AZ0014L9T001508	TH	$196,672	Regular
Sharon Manor (TH)	AZ0019L9T001508	TH	$79,664	Regular
Hart Prairie	AZ0103L9T001507	PH	$70,029	Regular

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/.

* 3-1. Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the FY 2016 reallocation process. Collaborative Applicants should refer to the final HUD-approved FY 2016 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered on this form is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name:	New Start Housing Project (TH)
Grant Number of Eliminated Project:	AZ0014L9T001508
Eliminated Project Component Type:	TH
Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount:	$196,672

3-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified. (limit 750 characters)

This has been an ongoing conversation since 2014 and during a joint COC/ESG/PATH meeting held 6-3-2016, OCCAC, the sub-recipient requested of the Arizona Department of Housing (ADOH) (Recipient and CA) to reallocate the TH to PSH as most participants need PSH and therefore it would improve lives and system performance. ADOH as recipient agreed but also discussed it with the Governance Advisory Board who also agreed on 8-8-16. Project sub-recipient was notified 8/8/16.

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

Worksheet to ensure all information entered on this form is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name: Sharon Manor (TH)

Grant Number of Eliminated Project: AZ0019L9T001508

Eliminated Project Component Type: TH

Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: $79,664

3-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified. (limit 750 characters)

During a joint COC/ESG/PATH meeting held 6-3-2016, extensive conversation occurred regarding this TH program reallocating. A formal request was made in July 2016 to reallocate to RRH as more households can be served, it will improve system performance and the clientele need this option. It was determined that the movement away from project based TH to scattered site RRH would better serve families who are survivors of domestic violence in Flagstaff Arizona. ADOH as recipient agreed but also discussed it with the Governance Advisory Board who also agreed on 8-8-16. Project sub-recipient was notified 8/8/16.

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/.

* 3-1. Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the FY 2016 reallocation process. Collaborative Applicants should refer to the final HUD-approved FY 2016 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered on this form is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name: Hart Prairie

Grant Number of Eliminated Project: AZ0103L9T001507

Eliminated Project Component Type: PH

Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: $70,029

3-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be

**eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified.
(limit 750 characters)**

Providers are assisted to voluntarily reallocate and The Guidance Center (THC) chose to do so. They felt they could no longer effectively administer this PSH project. During the 10 months of the remaining contract, all clients will be transitioned to another funding source for rental assistance, utilizing private and behavioral health dollars. This was an 11th hour decision by TGC and needing the funds to remain in the geographic region, ADOH as recipient in discussion with the Governance Advisory Board reallocated to Catholic Charities for a new project. The Guidance Center's request was accepted and they were notified of this project elimination on August 22, 2016.

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs that are reallocating eligible renewal project funds to create a new project application – as detailed in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA – may do so by reducing one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs that are reducing eligible renewal projects entirely must identify those projects on this form.

Amount Available for New Project (Sum of All Reduced Projects)					
$0					
Reduced Project Name	**Reduced Grant Number**	**Annual Renewal Amount**	**Amount Retained**	**Amount available for new project**	**Reallocation Type**
This list contains no items					

5. Reallocation - New Project(s)

Collaborative Applicants must complete each field on this form that identifies the new project(s) the CoC created through the reallocation process.

Sum of All New Reallocated Project Requests
(Must be less than or equal to total amount(s) eliminated and/or reduced)

$346,365				
Current Priority #	**New Project Name**	**Component Type**	**Transferred Amount**	**Reallocation Type**
9	Sharon Manor...	RRH	$79,664	Regular
17	New Start PSH	PSH	$196,672	Regular
23	Cypress Grove	PSH	$70,029	Regular

5. Reallocation - New Project(s) Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/.

5-1. Complete each of the fields below for each new project created through reallocation in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition. For list of all eligible types of new projects that may be created through the reallocation process, see the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA.

FY 2016 Rank (from Project Listing): 9

Proposed New Project Name: Sharon Manor RRH

Component Type: RRH

Amount Requested for New Project: $79,664

5. Reallocation - New Project(s) Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/.

5-1. Complete each of the fields below for each new project created through reallocation in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition. For list of all eligible types of new projects that may be created through the reallocation process, see the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA.

FY 2016 Rank (from Project Listing): 17

Proposed New Project Name: New Start PSH

Component Type: PSH

Amount Requested for New Project: $196,672

5. Reallocation - New Project(s) Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/.

5-1. Complete each of the fields below for each new project created through reallocation in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition. For list of all eligible types of new projects that may be created through the reallocation process, see the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA.

FY 2016 Rank (from Project Listing): 23

Proposed New Project Name: Cypress Grove

Component Type: PSH

Amount Requested for New Project: $70,029

6. Reallocation: Balance Summary

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/.

6-1. Below is a summary of the information entered on the eliminated and reduced reallocation forms. The last field on this form, "Remaining Reallocation Balance" should equal zero. If there is a positive balance remaining, this means the amount of funds being eliminated or reduced are greater than the amount of funds requested for the new reallocated project(s). If there is a negative balance remaining, this means that more funds are being requested for the new reallocated project(s) than have been reduced or eliminated from other eligible renewal projects, which is not permitted.

Reallocation Chart: Reallocation Balance Summary

Reallocated funds available for new project(s):	$346,365
Amount requested for new project(s):	$346,365
Remaining Reallocation Balance:	$0

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, Collaborative Applicants should carefully review the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and the CoC Priority Listing Instructional Guide.

To upload all new project applications that have been submitted to this CoC Project Listing, click on the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted that need to be located in the e-snaps system. The Collaborative Applicant may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. The Collaborative Applicant can wait for the Project Listings to be updated or can log out of e-snaps and come back later to view the updated list(s). To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If there are errors identified by the Collaborative Applicant, the project can be amended back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking on the amend icon. The Collaborative Applicant has the sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted and appear on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

EX1_Project_List_Status_field

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	Comp Type
New Start Housing...	2016-09-10 13:20:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$196,672	17	PH
Sharon Manor RRH	2016-09-10 16:21:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$79,664	9	PH
Cypress Grove	2016-09-10 17:58:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$70,029	23	PH
Mohave County Hou...	2016-09-10 13:48:...	2 Years	Arizona Departmen...	$146,657	25	PH

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, Collaborative Applicants should carefully review the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and the CoC Priority Listing Instructional Guide.

To upload all renewal project applications that have been submitted to this Renewal Project Listing, click on the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects that need to be located in the e-snaps system. The Collaborative Applicant may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. The Collaborative Applicant can wait for the Project Listings to be updated or can log out of e-snaps and come back later to view the updated list(s). To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If there are errors identified by the Collaborative Applicant, the project can be amended back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking on the amend icon. The Collaborative Applicant has the sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted and appear on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing. | X |

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects. | |

EX1_Project_List_Status_field

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	Comp Type
Arizona Veterans ...	2016-09-10 12:46:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$354,123	18	TH
Casas Primeras	2016-09-10 14:16:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$179,586	22	PH
Mohave County Per...	2016-09-10 14:42:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$193,789	15	PH
SPC Rural	2016-09-10 14:29:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$401,633	20	PH
Permanent Housing...	2016-09-10 14:06:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$258,211	10	PH

SPC Yuma and La Paz	2016-09-10 13:59:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$452,775	3	PH
Hope House for He...	2016-09-10 13:02:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$104,911	14	PH
Good Shepherd Sup...	2016-09-10 13:12:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$41,851	2	PH
Victory Place	2016-09-10 12:58:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$38,676	8	PH
Dreamcatcher RRH	2016-09-10 14:54:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$175,714	21	PH
WYGC-PH	2016-09-10 13:43:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$28,622	19	PH
Little Colorado H...	2016-09-10 15:10:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$82,116	12	PH
Women's Transitio...	2016-09-10 15:18:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$131,687	24	TH
Mohave County PSH...	2016-09-10 16:11:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$157,833	13	PH
NARBHA-PSH	2016-09-10 16:57:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$144,345	6	PH
Flagstaff Pines	2016-09-10 16:30:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$100,181	4	PH
Northern Sky	2016-09-10 17:16:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$286,015	7	PH
Sycamore Canyon	2016-09-10 17:37:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$64,835	11	PH
Catholic Charitie...	2016-09-10 17:46:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$39,690	16	PH
HMIS Project	2016-09-11 18:48:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$213,140	1	HMIS
Forward Step	2016-09-11 18:57:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$87,373	5	PH

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, Collaborative Applicants should carefully review the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and the CoC Priority Listing Instructional Guide.

 To upload the CoC planning project application that has been submitted to this CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes as the project will need to be located in the e-snaps system. The Collaborative Applicant may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. The Collaborative Applicant can wait for the Project Listings to be updated or can log out of e-snaps and come back later to view the updated list(s). To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If there are errors identified by the Collaborative Applicant, the project can be amended back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking on the amend icon. The Collaborative Applicant has the sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted and appear on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC Planning project application can be submitted and it must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile. Any additional CoC Planning project applications must be rejected.

EX1_Project_List_Status_field   List Updated Successfully

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type
AZ BOSCOC Plannin...	2016-09-13 12:20:...	1 Year	Arizona Departmen...	$116,518	CoC Planning Proj...

Funding Summary

Instructions

For additional information, carefully review the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and the CoC Priority Listing Instructional Guide.

This page contains the total budget summaries for each of the project listings for which the Collaborative Applicant approved and ranked or rejected project applications. The Collaborative Applicant must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate. The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount the Collaborative Applicant will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
Renewal Amount	$3,537,106
New Amount	$493,022
CoC Planning Amount	$116,518
Rejected Amount	$0
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	$4,146,646

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1. Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan	Yes	AZ 500 Certificat...	09/13/2016
2. FY 2016 HUD-approved Grant Inventory Worksheet	Yes	AZ BOSCOC GIW	09/10/2016
3. FY 2016 Rank (from Project Listing)	No	AZ 500 2016 Final...	09/13/2016
4. Other	No		
5. Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: AZ 500 Certifications of Consistency with Consolidate Plan

Attachment Details

Document Description: AZ BOSCOC GIW

Attachment Details

Document Description: AZ 500 2016 Final Project Listing and Ranking

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY2016 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

WARNING: The FY2016 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1A. Identification	08/28/2016
2. Reallocation	09/11/2016
3. Grant(s) Eliminated	09/12/2016
4. Grant(s) Reduced	No Input Required
5. New Project(s)	09/11/2016
6. Balance Summary	No Input Required
7A. CoC New Project Listing	09/11/2016
7B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	09/11/2016
7D. CoC Planning Project Listing	09/13/2016

Attachments

09/13/2016

Submission Summary

No Input Required