



**ARIZONA BALANCE OF STATE CONTINUUM OF CARE  
COORDINATED ENTRY COMMITTEE MEETING**

**January 20, 2022—9:30 to 12:00**

TOPIC	NOTES
<p>Call to Order Introductions</p>	<p><b>CE Leads/Lead Representative</b>  Marilyn Johnson (OCCAC)— Apache Counties  Holly Creager— Coconino County  Chris Moller (CCCS)— Coconino County  Jason Sampson (GNA)— Cochise County  Keith Dennis (SEAGO)— Cochise County  Brad Roland (GNA)— Cochise County  Kelly Williams (Mohave County)— Mohave County  Marilyn Johnson (OCCAC)— Navajo Counties  Suzanne Payan (CAHRA)— Pinal County  Keith Dennis (SEAGO)— Santa Cruz County  Julia De Los Reyes (WACOG)— Yuma County</p> <p><b>Content/Area Representatives</b>  Jocelyn Muzzin (SAVAHCS)  Stephanie Crum (Solari)  Cristina Benitez (ADOH)  Candee Stanton— Independent Contractor</p> <p><b>Not In Attendance</b>  Northern RBHA      Southern RBHA  DES                      Gila County  La Paz County      Yavapai County  NAVAHCS</p>
<p>Approval of Minutes 10.20.2021</p>	<p>Keith made a motion to approve, Julia seconded. Motion was approved.</p>

TOPIC	NOTES
<p>Overview of the meeting today</p>	<p>Meeting will be focused on a discussion about the Committee focusing on 2022 meetings and activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• reviewing the VI-SPDAT,</li> <li>• examining its impact on social justice and racial equity,</li> <li>• considering adapting the VI-SPDAT,</li> <li>• considering a new assessment</li> </ul>
<p>Presentation by Solari about the VI-SPDAT related to HMIS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• how change will impact the intake and assessment from an HMIS perspective</li> </ul> <p><a href="#">Allegheny Housing Assessment</a></p> <p><a href="#">Allegheny Focus Group Report</a></p> <p><a href="#">Allegheny FAQs</a></p> <p><a href="#">Developing a New Assessment</a></p> <p><a href="#">VI-SPDAT Study</a></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• information how are other COC looking at VI-SPDAT (e.g.. in Maricopa County)</li> <li>• Does VI-SPDAT impact ability to be more equitable</li> <li>• What may be other considerations to examine if a change would be recommended</li> </ul> <p>Stephanie provided an overview about the VI-SPDAT. Developed in 2013 to make priorities related to limited resources—decision helper tool. VI-SPDAT is stepping out of the arena of assessment tools. The VI-SPDAT was meant to be a triage tool rather than a decision making tool and not designed for racial equity.</p> <p>CoCs looking at other tools and predictive models; Stephanie reviewed Southern Nevada’s CoC Assessment—it is a crisis needs assessment. They used HUD TA—biggest challenge was scoring matrix—scoring equivalency.</p> <p>Allegheny County CoC Prescriptive Risk Model—they have a data warehouse, similar to the intent of LAPP Grant the CoCs of Arizona are developing.</p>
<p>Preliminary discussion about reviewing the use of the VI-SPDAT as a focus of the CE Committee in 2022</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concerns about the VI-SPDAT</li> <li>• No longer supported by Org Code</li> <li>• Experiences locally</li> <li>• Data Review</li> </ul> <p>Keith indicated that they had an initial discussion about the VI-SPDAT use.</p> <p>Stephanie indicated that the data warehouse is in the initial stages.</p> <p>Chris indicated at looking at between seven and 24 months.</p> <p>Cristina indicated that resources are very different in each community.</p>

TOPIC	NOTES
	<p>Keith indicated that there are other community resources and data from other sources that they are looking at.</p> <p>Jocelyn indicated Pima County that they are using HUD TA to help.</p> <p>Kelly and Marilyn indicated that the scores don't reflect people's need.</p> <p>Brad indicated that some of the questions –Study– about VI-SPDAT.</p> <p>Julia –indicated that the VI-SPDAT has worked-but it is good to review.</p> <p>Chris –indicated that the folks making the referrals and can appeal the case conferencing discussion</p> <p>Kelly –prioritize list based on the family SPDAT and the individual SPDAT. Kelly is working on restructuring case conferencing –so that folks who may be “falling through the cracks” can be addressed. Kelly is interested in learning more about to sort the BNL.</p> <p>Stephanie –folks who have gotten into service who are still on the BNL –please submit a ticket <a href="https://community.solari-inc.org/submit-a-ticket/">https://community.solari-inc.org/submit-a-ticket/</a> –Solari –will look at the client. Solari has made some adjustments in the BNL.</p> <p>Cristina indicated that has been a common theme.</p> <p>Each LCEH has the ability to review/ revise their Coordinated Entry/CC and BNL priority policy to adjust to the community needs. It could an addition of another assessment tool or what the LCEH deems appropriate for their particular community needs.</p> <p>The Committee agreed that we want to continue to move forward in continuing the research/information gathering and discussions.</p>

TOPIC	NOTES
Review the 2022 LCEH CE Assessment tool	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Purpose of the tool</li> </ul> <p>Candee reviewed the tool. The Committee agreed it was okay to send out again as part of the LCEH packet.</p>
Resource List	A list of resources is now included in all AZBOSCOC agendas.
ADJOURN	

## Acronyms

	2022.01-20
Apache County	X
Cochise County	X
Coconino County	X
Gila County	
La Paz County	
Mohave County	X
Navajo County	X
Pinal County	X
Yavapai County	
Yuma County	X
Southern RBHA	
DES	
Northern RBHA	
NAVAHCS	
SAVAHCS	X
Solari	X